



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.32661 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 01-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.32661 of 2025

N. Rangarajan

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Achirapakkam Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
(Crime No.291 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent in Crime No.291 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. Vetrivel Bhaskaran

For Respondent : M/s. J.R. Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.32661 of 2025



ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4) and 132 of BNS and Under Section 15 and 15(3) of the Indian medical Council Act, 1956 in Crime No.291 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 19.11.2025, based on an information received from a decoy, the Joint Director of Health Service Department conducted a raid in Sri Sakthi Clinic located at the Orathi Main Road, Kamalaipudhur Taluk and found that the petitioner herein without any qualification or appropriate knowledge in Allopathy medicine had been practising the same; that further during the raid, the petitioner fled away from the spot. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a certificate holder in Electropathy, he did not given any Allopathy treatment to any persons and he has been falsely implicated in



this case; and that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court, hence sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case and that the investigation of this case is pending.

5. I have considered the submissions made on both sides and perused the materials available on record:

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, gravity of offence, the petitioner herein is alleged to be a quack and he ran away from the clinic while the Joint Director of Health Service Department conducted inspection, taking note of the fact that the investigation of this case is pending and if the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail, he would indulge in similar offence and it would hamper the investigation process, hence this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.32661 of 2025

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

stn

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

01.12.2025

stn

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Achirapakkam Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
(Crime No.291 of 2025)
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P. No.32661 of 2025