



WEB COPY

WP No. 46837 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-12-2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR

WP No. 46837 of 2025

AND

WMP NO. 52287 OF 2025

1. R.Vijaya
W/o.Late.D.Ramasamy
2. R.Rajalakshmi
D/o.Late.D.Ramasamy

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Commissioner,
Greater Chennai Corporation,
Ripon Buildings, Chennai-600 003.
2. The Zonal Officer,
Zone 13, Greater Chennai Corporation,
Adyar, Chennai-600 020
3. Thasildar.
Perungudi Taluk, Perungudi,
Chennai-600 096.
4. Revenue Inspector
Perungudi Village,
Perungudi, Chennai-600 096.
5. The Village Administrative Officer
Perungudi Village, Perungudi,
Chennai-600 096.
6. R.Vasantha
7. R.Rama
8. R.Sundaramurthy

..Respondent(s)

**PRAYER**

Writ Petition is filed under Art.226 of Constitution of India seeking for issuance of Writ of Mandamus to issue a suitable direction directing the 2nd and 3rd respondents to conduct an enquiry on the objections submitted by the petitioner on 30.10.2025 in complaint No.2025-976-JRS

For Petitioner(s): Mr. M. Sriram

For Respondent(s): Mrs.Ashwini Devi
Standing Counsel for R1 & R2

Mr.V.Jeevagiridharan,
Addl. Govt. Pleader for R3 to R5

Order

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction directing the 2nd and 3rd respondents to conduct an enquiry on the objections submitted by the petitioners on 30.10.2025 in Complaint No.2025-976-JRS.

2. According to the petitioners, the respondents 6 to 8 have recorded their names as legal heirs. The 1st petitioner is the legally wedded first wife and the 2nd petitioner is her daughter. But without including their names, the legal heir certificate and death certificate has been issued in favour of respondents 6 to 8. Hence, they have given their detailed objections on 30.10.2025, but no enquiry has been initiated by the authorities. Therefore, they seek a direction.

3. Heard Mr.M.Sriram, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mrs.Ashwini Devi, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents 1 & 2 and



Mr.V.Jeevagiridharan, learned Addl. Government Pleader for respondents 3 to

5. Perused the materials available on record.

4. This Court is of the view that right of parties shall be adjudicated in a proper manner by filing a suit before the competent civil court. At any event, having limited prayer sought in the Writ Petition, the respondents 2 and 3 are directed to conduct enquiry on the objections given by the petitioners and pass orders on its own merit after giving opportunity to all the parties within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. With the above direction, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected Writ Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

08-12-2025

Index: Yes

Speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes

RPP

To

1. The Commissioner,
Greater Chennai Corporation Ripon Buildings,
Chennai-600 003.
2. The Zonal Officer,
Zone 13
Greater Chennai Corporation, Adyar,
Chennai-600 020



3. Thasildar,
Perungudi Taluk,
Perungudi, Chennai-600 096.
4. Revenue Inspector
Perungudi Village,
Perungudi, Chennai-600 096.
5. The Village Administrative Officer
Perungudi Village,
Perungudi, Chennai-600 096.
6. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

WP No. 46837 of 2



N.SATHISH KUMAR J.

RPP

**WP No. 46837 of 2025
AND
WMP NO. 52287 OF 2025**

08-12-2025

(2/2)