



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-11-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.32679 of 2025

Deel Imthiyas @ Imthiyaz

Petitioner

Vs

The State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Vaniyambadi Town Police Station,
Tirupattur District.
Crime No.254 of 2025.

Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.254 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner: Mr.J.Prakasam

For Respondent: Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.side)



ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 08.09.2025 for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 333, 296(b), 351(3), 109 BNS r/w 4 of TNPHW Act and under Section 3 of TN Public Property (Prevention of Damage & Loss) Act, in Crime No.254 of 2025, seeks bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that, due to previous enmity, he entered into the house of the defacto complainant, threatened her with dire consequences, and also attempted to stab her. Hence, the complaint.

3. Earlier, this Court dismissed the bail petition in CrI.OP.No.27226 of 2025 dated 08.10.2025 on the ground that the investigation had not yet been completed.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted the petitioner was detained under Act 14 of 1982 and that the said detention



was subsequently revoked on the recommendation of the Advisory Board dated 18.11.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 08.09.2025 and that he is ready to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has 13 previous cases pending against him and that, in this case no one was injured. He further submitted that the property was damaged to the extent of Rs.2,000/-. Hence, he opposed for the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. On perusal of the FIR, it is seen that no one was sustained injury and that the threat was made to the defacto complainant.



WEB COPY

[b] the petitioner shall report before the concerned Court daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself, as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];



[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh

WEB COPY

FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

28.11.2025

drl

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court,
Vaniyambadi.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Vaniyambadi Town Police Station,
Tirupattur District.



WEB COPY

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Vellore.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.,

drl

CRL OP NO.32679 of 2025



WEB COPY



28.11.2025