



WEB COPY




CMP No.30430 of 2025
in CRP SR No.191855 of 2025

P.B.BALAJI,,J

Heard Mr.S.Kingston Jerold,
learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The petitioner claims to be the sister of the first respondent and in possession of the subject property. Even though there is an order of stay granted by this Court in the first appeal as well as there being interim protection in the revision as well, the Executing Court has ordered delivery. The petitioner apprehends that under the guise of executing the decree, the



possession with the petitioner is also likely to be interfered and disturbed.

WEB COPY

3. In view of the above, I am inclined to grant leave and accordingly, leave is granted and the petition is allowed.

04.12.2025

sr