



WEB COPY



W.P.No.46000 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.11.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

W.P.No.46000 of 2025 and
W.M.P.No.51297 of 2025

K.Muthu

...Petitioner

-Vs-

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. By its Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Environment, Climate Change and Forest Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai,
Tamil Nadu -600 009.

2.The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests &
Head of Forests Force,
Velachery Main Road,
Guindy, Chennai
Tamil Nadu -600 032.

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the entire records which culminated in issuing in Ref.No.LL1/35953/2023 dated 28.07.2024 on the file of the second respondent and quash the same with consequential direction to the respondents to notionally regularize the petitioner service by relaxing the rules after completion of ten years from the date of initial appointment as a Plot Watcher on daily wage basis on par with the Junior namely, M.Karunanidhi seniority along with regular service as qualifying service for the purpose of getting pension and family pension in the light of Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgment delivered in C.A.No.6798 of 2019 dated 02.09.2019 and this Court orders passed in W.P.No.20845 of 2023 dated
Page 1 of 7



W.P.No.46000 of 2025

03.08.2023, W.P.(MD) No.15339 of 2024 dated 10.07.2024, W.P.No.19605 of 2024 dated 15.07.2024 and W.P.No.18079 of 2019 dated 19.11.2024 were complied in G.O.(2D) No.98, dated 24.09.2024 and G.O.(2D) No.65, dated 21.04.2025 respectively of the 1st respondent as per Tamil Nadu Fundamental Rule 27(17) to confer all consequential pensionary benefits within time frame as fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.Kanna Patel I

For Respondents : Mr.C.Selvaraj
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed calling for the entire records which culminated in issuing in Ref.No.LL1/35953/2023, dated 28.07.2024, on the file of the second respondent and quash the same with consequential direction to the respondents to notionally regularize the petitioner's service by relaxing the rules after completion of ten years from the date of initial appointment as a Plot Watcher on daily wage basis on par with the Junior, namely, M.Karunanidhi, seniority along with regular service as qualifying service for the purpose of getting pension and family pension in the light of Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgment delivered in C.A.No.6798 of 2019, dated 02.09.2019 and this Court orders passed in W.P.No.20845 of 2023, dated 03.08.2023, W.P.(MD) No.15339 of 2024, dated 10.07.2024, W.P.No.19605 of 2024, dated 15.07.2024 and W.P.No.18079 of 2019, dated 19.11.2024 were complied in G.O.(2D) No.98, dated 24.09.2024 and G.O.(2D) No.65, dated 21.04.2025 respectively of the




W.P.No.46000 of 2025

first respondent as per Tamil Nadu Fundamental Rule 27(17) to confer all consequential pensionary benefits within time frame as fixed by this Court.

2. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

3. The petitioner had joined in the Forest Department on daily wage basis, on 01.10.1993. As per G.O.Ms.No.148, Environment (M) and Forest (2) Department dated 21.12.2006, the petitioner was absorbed and posted as Forest Watcher. Subsequently, he was promoted as a Forest Watcher on 03.05.2017. Subsequently, he retired from service due to the attainment of age of superannuation. However, the petitioner did not get any pensionary benefits after his retirement, since his service was regularized only after 01.04.2003, viz., after the cut-off date fixed by new pensionary scheme for purpose of getting pension. However, his juniors, whose service were regularized before 01.04.2003 are getting pensionary benefits. The respondents did not follow the seniority list in the matters of regularization of service to the employees engaged on daily wage basis. Till 1994, the only qualification for appointment of Plot Watcher is ability to read and write. However, as per G.O.Ms.No.332 Environment and Forest Department dated 22.12.1994, SSLC was prescribed as minimum general educational qualification for bringing into regular time scale



W.P.No.46000 of 2025

of pay from employees like Plot Watcher on daily wage basis. Therefore, so many of them could not be considered for appointment of Forest Watcher, since he did not possess SSLC as a qualification. So many persons approached the Tamil Nadu Administrative Tribunal and obtained an interim order. However, in the meantime, so many juniors were brought in regular time scale in the post of Forest Watcher, in the year 1995. In order to wriggle out of the said situation G.O.Ms.No.64 Environment and Forest Department dated 08.03.1999 came to be passed, whereby G.O.Ms.No.332 Environment and Forest Department dated 22.12.1994 was superseded and revoked. Therefore, the prescription of SSLC qualification for appointment of Forest Watcher promoted from Plot Watcher and Social Forestry workers engaged on daily wage basis, is no longer in existence.

4. Therefore, the petitioner submitted a detailed representation. Pursuant to G.O.Ms.No.95, Environment and Forest Department dated 07.08.2009, the petitioner was brought under regular time scale of pay in the non-cadre post of Plot Watcher (supernumerary) from 07.06.2010. Thereafter, the petitioner retired from service, due to his attainment of age of superannuation. Since his service was regularized only after 01.04.2003, he was not granted any pension.

5. In similar issues, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of ***Prem Singh Vs State of Uttar Pradesh and others*** in ***C.A.No.6798 of 2019***




W.P.No.46000 of 2025

dated 02.09.2019, held as follows:-

“ 35. There are some of the employees who have not been regularized in spite of having rendered the services for 30-40 or more years whereas they have been superannuated. As they have worked in the work-charged establishment, not against any particular project, their services ought to have been regularized under the Government instructions and even as per the decision of this Court in Secretary, State of Karnataka & Ors. v. Uma Devi 2006 (4) SCC 1. This Court in the said decision has laid down that in case services have been rendered for more than ten years without the cover of the Court's order, as one time measure, the services be regularized of such employees. In the facts of the case, those employees who have worked for ten years or more should have been regularized. It would not be proper to regulate them for consideration of regularisation as others have been regularised, we direct that their services be treated as a regular one. However, it is made clear that they shall not be entitled to claiming any dues of difference in wages had they been continued in service regularly before attaining the age of superannuation. They shall be entitled to receive the pension as if they have retired from the regular establishment and the services rendered by them right from the day they entered the work-charged establishment shall be counted as qualifying service for purpose of pension.”

6. Thus, it is clear that the petitioner is also entitled to be regularized in his service from the date on which he completes ten years of service as Plot Watcher for the purpose of getting pension. Therefore, the petitioner made a representation.

7. In view of the above, the impugned order cannot be sustained and is liable to be quashed. Accordingly, Ref.No.LL1/35953/2023 dated 28.07.2024, is hereby quashed. The respondents are directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 24.07.2023 made along with similarly



W.P.No.46000 of 2025

placed persons and pass orders on merits and in accordance with law, in the light of the judgment delivered in C.A.No.6798 of 2019 dated 02.09.2019 and orders passed in W.P.No.20845 of 2023 dated 03.08.2023, W.P.(MD) No.15339 of 2024 dated 10.07.2024, W.P.No.19605 of 2024 dated 15.07.2024 and W.P.No.18079 of 2019 dated 19.11.2024, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. In the result, this writ petition stands allowed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

26.11.2025

Internet: Yes
Index : Yes/No
Speaking/Non Speaking order
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
sli

To

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. By its Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Environment, Climate Change and Forest Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai,
Tamil Nadu -600 009.

2.The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests &
Head of Forests Force,
Velachery Main Road,
Guindy, Chennai
Tamil Nadu -600 032.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.46000 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN. J,

sli

W.P.No.46000 of 2025

26.11.2025
(1/5)