



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.32022 of 2025

N.Sumeer

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
D-4 Padalam Police Station,
Chennai.

Crime No.474 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.474 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Ajith kumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side).

ORDER

The petitioner herein apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 123 of BNS and Section 24(1) of COTPA Act, in Crime No.474 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of 500 grams of banned tobacco products. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner was found in illegal possession of 500 grams of banned tobacco products. He would further submit that, apart from this case, petitioner has no previous case. However, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsels on either side, also considering the fact that the petitioner has no previous cases, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the



date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate II, Madurantakam**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only)** with two **sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24.11.2025

kmm

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate II, Madurantakam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
D-4 Padalam Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.32022 of 2025