



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-11-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 46515 of 2025

R.Ramanujam
S/o. P.M.K.S. Ranganathan, No. 3/39
New Weavers Street Mangalampettai
Town, Vridhachalam Taluk Cuddalore
District

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Inspector General Of Registration
O/o. The Inspector General Of Registration,
No. 100 Santhome High Road Chennai 28
- 2.The Dy. Inspector General Of Registration
O/o. The Dy. Inspector General Of
Registration Cuddalore Zone, Cuddalore
- 3.The District Registrar (administration)
O/o. Of The District Registrar, Vridhachalam
Cuddalore District
- 4.The Sub Registrar
Office Of The Sub Registrar Mangalampettai ,
Vridhachalam Taluk Cuddalore District

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling the records from the 3rd respondent pertaining to the order of Refusal Check slip Refusal No. RFL/



Mangalampettai/ 34/ 2025 dated 22.08.2025 of the 4th respondent and to quash the same and directing the 4th respondent herein to register the judgment and decree dated 15.06.2025 in OS No. 178 of 2022 on the file of the court of the Additional Subordinate judge, Vridhachalam obtained by the petitioner

For Petitioner(s): Mr.T.Dhanasekaran

For Respondent: Mr.Abishek Murthy, GA

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned refusal check slip dated 22.08.2025 issued by the 4th respondent.

2. Mr.Abishek Murthy, learned Government Advocate, takes notice on behalf of the respondent.

3. By consent of the parties, the main petition is taken up for disposal at the stage of admission itself.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, the petitioner's paternal aunt bequeathed the subject lands to the petitioner by way of Will dated 15.03.2020. Subsequently, to establish his rights, the petitioner had filed a suit in OS.No.178 of 2020 before the Additional Subordinate Judge, Vridhachalam. The said suit was partly allowed in favour of the petitioner vide judgement and decree dated 15.06.2024. Thereafter, the said



judgement and decree was presented before the respondents for the purpose of registration. However, the 4th respondent had refused to register the said judgement and decree vide refusal check slip dated 22.08.2025. According to the petitioner, if the aforesaid judgement and decree is not registered, it will affect his rights over the subject lands. Therefore he request this court to pass appropriate orders

5. In reply, the learned Government Advocate would fairly submit that if the judgement and decree is re-presented before the respondents, the same will be registered by the respondents.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents and also perused the entire materials available on record.

7. In the case on hand, the petitioner had filed a suit, to establish his right over the subject property, in OS.No.178 of 2020 before the Additional Subordinate Judge, Vridhachalam. The said suit was partly allowed in favour of the petitioner vide judgement and decree dated 15.06.2024. Subsequently, the said judgement and decree was presented before the respondents for the purpose of registration. However, the 4th respondent had refused to register the said judgement and decree vide refusal check slip dated 22.08.2025.



8. Normally, the respondents will not have any impediment in registering the judgement and decree passed by any Court of law. Further, in terms of Section 17(e) of the Registration Act, 1908, it is mandatory to register the decree or order of the Court. When such being the case, this Court is inclined to set aside the impugned refusal check slip.

9. In view of the above, the impugned refusal check slip dated 22.08.2025 is set aside. The petitioner is directed to re-present the judgement and decree dated 15.06.2025 before the 4th respondent. In such case, the 4th respondent shall register the same, if it is otherwise in order. If any additional document is presented for the purpose of registration, the 4th respondent shall take appropriate decision with regard to the registration of said documents in accordance with law.

10. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

28-11-2025

nsa

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To

1.The Inspector General Of
Registration
O/o. The Inspector General Of
Registration, No. 100 Santhome High
Road Chennai 28

2.The Dy. Inspector General Of
Registration
O/o. The Dy. Inspector General Of
Registration Cuddalore Zone,
Cuddalore

3.The District Registrar
(administration)
O/o. Of The District Registrar,
Vridhachalam Cuddalore District

4.The Sub Registrar
Office Of The Sub Registrar
Mangalampettai , Vridhachalam Taluk
Cuddalore District



WEB COPY

WP No. 46515 of 2025



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.
nsa

WP No. 46515 of 2025

28-11-2025