



WEB COPY



CRP.No.6492 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR

**CRP No. 6492 of 2025 and
CMP No.32061 of 2025**

1. C. Balasubramaniyan
S/o. Chinnappa Gounder, No.35,
Shasthri Road, Lakkampatti Village,
Gopichettipalayam Taluk,
Erode District.


2. B. Senthilkumar
S/o. Balasubramaniyan, No.35,
Shasthri Road, Lakkampatti Village,
Gopichettipalayam Taluk,
Erode District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Ravichandran
S/o. Nanjappa Gounder, Nehru Street,
L. Kallupattiyil, Lakkampatti Village,
Gopichettipalayam Taluk,
Erode District.

2. Shanmugam
S/o. Nanjappa Gounder, 9/1, Main
Road, Gandhipuram, L. Kallupattiyil,
Gopichettipalayam Taluk,
Erode District.



CRP.No.6492 of 2025

3.Kaliyannan
S/o. Nanjappagounder, 9/1, Main Road,
Gandhipuram, L.Kallupattiyil,
Gopichettipalayam Taluk,
Erode District.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India to set aside the return docket order dated 06.10.2025 in IA. un numbered of 2025 in IA No.2 of 2025 in OS No.23 of 2021 on the file of the 3rd Additional District Court, Gopichettipalayam.

For Petitioner(s): S. Sengkodi M. Kalaiyarasan S.
Siranjeevi S. Dilli Ganesh

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition is filed, challenging the docket order passed by the Trial Court dated 06.10.2025, returning the interlocutory application filed by the petitioners, seeking their impleadment in the final decree proceedings.

2. If the papers are returned by the Trial Court, raising the question of maintainability, it is for the petitioners to re-present the same by offering explanations. Instead of re-presenting the papers by offering their explanations, the petitioners are not entitled to rush to this court.



CRP.No.6492 of 2025

3. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to re-present the returned papers before the court below by offering their explanations. If the Trial Court is convinced with the explanation offered by the petitioners, the interlocutory application shall be numbered and the same shall be considered in accordance with law. If the Trial court is not satisfied with the explanation offered by the petitioners, the matter shall be called in open court and order shall be passed on its own merits, after hearing the petitioners.

4. With the above direction, this civil revision petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed. Registry is directed to return the original un-umbered interlocutory application papers to the counsel for the petitioner.

19.12.2025

Internet : Yes
Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
MST

To

The III Additional Judge,
District Court, Gopichettipalayam.



WEB COPY



CRP.No.6492 of 2025

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

MST

CRP.No.6492 of 2025

19.12.2025