



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.31844 of 2025

1. Vasanth Kumar
2. Kala
3. Vinoth @ Vinothkumar
4. Arjunan @ Malligaarjunan

... Petitioners

Vs.

State By
Inspector of Police,
Veppamkuppam Police Station,
Vellore District.
(Crime No.345 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.345 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.D.Thirumoorthy

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who were apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) & 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023 and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu



Prohibition of Women Harassment Act, 2002 in Crime No.343 of 2025,
seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the first petitioner, who is the husband of the defacto complainant, had a wordy quarrel with her, and the petitioners jointly threatened the defacto complainant. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that, petitioners are innocent persons and due to previous enmity, false complaint has been given by the defacto complainant. Thus, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that, apart from this case, petitioners have no previous cases. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsels on either side, also considering the fact that the petitioners have no previous cases, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Mahila, Vellore**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only)** **with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

21.11.2025

kmm

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Mahila, Vellore.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Veppamkuppam Police Station,
Vellore District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.31844 of 2025