



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

**Crl.O.P.No.31970 of 2025**

1. Velan  
2. Yamuna

... Petitioners

Vs.

State rep. by the  
Inspector of Police,  
Tiruttani Police Station,  
Tiruttani District.  
(Crime No.502 of 2025)

... Respondent

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya  
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2024, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime  
No.502 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Muthamizh Selvakumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

**ORDER**

The petitioners, who were apprehending arrest at the hands of the  
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b),  
115(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 r/w Section 3 of TNPPDL Act in Crime  
No.502 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioners is that on 05.10.2025 at about 9.00 a.m., when the defacto complainant along with his friend was going in two wheeler bearing Reg.No.TN20DW0442 near Thekkalur Bus stand bridge, the petitioner along with other accused waylaid him, abused him in filthy language and assaulted him with hands and also damaged his two wheeler to the value of Rs.1000/-, and escaped. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the alleged occurrence arose merely out of a wordy quarrel on account of rash and negligent driving, and that no one sustained any injury in the incident. It is stated that the petitioners are willing to deposit the damage amount. He also submitted that the petitioner is ready to cooperate with the investigation and will abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl Side) submitted that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners and that the co-accused has already been arrested and released on bail by the trial Court. Therefore, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, and also taking into account the fact that the co-accused has already been released on bail and that the petitioners have no previous cases, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to deposit a sum of **Rs.3,000/- each to the credit of Crime No.502 of 2025**, and on such deposit, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate Court, Tiruttani** on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;



WEB COPY



[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

**[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;**

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

**24.11.2025**

kmm



To

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court, Tiruttani.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
Tiruttani Police Station,  
Tiruttani District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



**K.RAJASEKAR, J.**

kmm

**Crl.O.P.No.31970 of 2025**

**24.11.2025**