



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.31861 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-11-2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR**

**CRL OP NO.31861 of 2025**

Vayalpati Srinath Yadav

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

The State Rep. By,  
The Inspector of Police,  
All Women Police Station,  
Pattabiram, Chennai District.  
(Crime No.21 of 2025)

... Respondent

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent in Crime No.21 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. Camyles Gandhi W.

For Respondent : Mr. S. Udayakumar  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

\*\*\*\*\*



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.31861 of 2025



## **ORDER**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 417, 420, 376 of IPC and R/w. 4 of Women Harassment Act in Crime No.21 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner herein is that, the petitioner and the defacto complainant were in a relationship from 2021 to 2025; that under the promise of marrying her, the petitioner had physical relationship with the defacto complainant and subsequently, refused to marry the defacto complainant. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and the allegation are false; that it is the case of consensual relationship between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, who is aged about 24 years; that due to difference of opinion and misunderstanding between them, a false complaint has been lodged by the defacto complainant; and that the petitioner is ready to abide by any



conditions that may be imposed by this Court, hence sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the investigation of this case is pending.

5. Considering the submissions made on both sides, facts and circumstances of this case, nature of allegation and since custodial interrogation is not necessary for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Tiruvallur** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** **with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned



Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

**[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of copy of this order, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;**

**[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;**

**[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;**

**[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;**



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.31861 of 2025



[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

[f] The petitioner shall co-operate for investigation and subject himself for medical examination if any, required by the respondent.

**21.11.2025**

stn

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II,  
Tiruvallur.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
All Women Police Station,  
Pattabiram, Chennai District.  
(Crime No.21 of 2025)
3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.No.31861 of 2025*

**K. RAJASEKAR, J.**

stn

**Crl.O.P. No.31861 of 2025**

**21.11.2025**