



C.R.P.No.6356 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

C.R.P.No.6356 of 2025
and C.M.P.Nos.31506, 31508 and 30118 of 2025

M/s.C.R.Narayana Rao,
(Consultants) Private Limited,
Rep. By its Managing Director,
Ms.Sumitra Ravindranath, and
Mr.C.R.Arvind,
No.10, Karpagambal Nagar,
Luz, Mylapore, Chennai – 600 004.

... Petitioner

vs.

Coimbatore Srinivasan Raghuram

... Respondent

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, seeking to set aside the impugned order as being illegal, stubborn and contrary to the settled principles of natural justice and the provisions of the Act, made in M.P.No.3 of 2025 in RLTOP.No.108 of 2025 passed by the learned X Judge Court of Small Causes, Chennai.



C.R.P.No.6356 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.S.R.Rajagopal
Senior Advocate
for Mr.K.P.Sanjeev Kumar

For Respondent :M/s.Krishna Ravindran

ORDER

The Civil Revision Petition is filed challenging the order passed by the Rent Court, dismissing the application filed by the petitioner seeking right of cross examination.

2. When the revision petition is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent would submit that he has no objection for allowing the revision by permitting the petitioner to cross examine the respondent side witness. He also made an endorsement in the Court bundle, recording the same, the Civil Revision Petition is allowed by setting aside the impugned order passed by the Rent Court. The petitioner is permitted to examine the witness by cross examination.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent would submit that outer time limit may be fixed for completion of cross examination. It is also



WEB COPY



C.R.P.No.6356 of 2025

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

ub

C.R.P.No.6356 of 2025

17.12.2025