



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.32063 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.32063 of 2025

Gunajothi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State by

The Inspector of Police,
CCB-1, Police Station,
Team-19, CCIW, CCB,
Police Commissioner Office,
Chennai District.
(Crime No.116 of 2024)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.116 of 2024, on the file of the Inspector of Police, CCB-1, Police Station, Team-19, CCIW, CCB, Police Commissioner Office, Chennai District.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Silambu Selvan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 406, 420 120B, 34 of IPC r/w Section 5 of TNPID Act 1997 in
1/4



Cr.No.116 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The prosecution case is that the petitioner joining hands with other accused collected a sum of Rs.2.34Crores from various persons and defaulted to repay the chit amount, which led to the registration of the FIR and the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that since the petitioner is the wife of A3, she has falsely implicated in this case. She has not having any overt act and she was not the part of the management the chit funds. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner is ranked as A4, Though in the FIR, the petitioner's name was not mentioned about her role which revealed that the petitioner is also one of the Directors and investigation is going on. Since huge amount was involved in the case, he vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. I have gone through the records and connected materials, it revealed that a the petitioner is also one of the Directors and he also part of

2/4



Crl.OP.No.32063 of 2025

the management and huge amount has been swindled under the pretext of running chit fund. Hence, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

25.11.2025

Vv

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
CCB-1, Police Station,
Team-19, CCIW, CCB,
Police Commissioner Office,
Chennai District.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.32063 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.32063 of 2025

25.11.2025



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.32063 of 2025

