



WEB COPY



W.P.No.45612 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 24.11.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.No.45612 of 2025

R.Anjali

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector
Office Of The District Collector, Chengalpattu
District.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Chengalpattu District.

3. The Tahsildar
Vandalur Taluk, Chengalpattu District.

4. The Commissioner Land Administration
Land Administration Department,
2nd Floor, Ezhilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai 600005.

5. The Secretary To Government
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai 600009.

... Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, Directing all the respondents to
consider the representation dated 12.11.2025.

1/7



WEB COPY



W.P.No.45612 of 2025

For Petitioner : Dr.B.Ramaswamy, for Mr.M.Dinesh

For Respondent : Mr.G.Velu, AGP

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed to direct the respondents to consider the representation dated 12.11.2025.

2. Mr.G.Velu, learned Additional Government Pleader, takes notice on behalf of the respondents.

3. By consent of the parties, the main writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, the petitioner is the owner of the subject land situated in Survey No.96/1A at Murugamangalam Village, Vandalur Taluk, Chengalpattu District. The petitioner's land is surrounded by the private properties and "sarkar poromboke land".



W.P.No.45612 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. The main grievance of the petitioner is that the Government land, which is lying between her property and the public road, is blocking the vehicle access to her property, due to which, the ingress and egress at the subject property was totally affected. Hence, a representation was made to the Authorities concerned, requesting them to find out a direct pathway from the petitioner's property to the public road and vice versa. However, the said representation was not at all considered by the respondent till date. Hence, this petition.

6. Further, he would submit that the petitioner is ready to pay all the necessary charges and the value determined by the Authorities concerned for the pathway, to be allotted by them.

7. In reply, the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner's representation will be considered within a period of 6 weeks. Hence, he requests this Court to pass appropriate orders.



W.P.No.45612 of 2025

WEB COPY

8. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondents and also perused the entire materials available on record.

9. In the case on hand, the petitioner's land is surrounded by private lands and the sarkar poromboke land, due to which, the vehicle access from the public road to the petitioner's land was blocked. Hence, she made a representation dated 12.11.2025, requesting the respondents to find a suitable pathway from the public road to the petitioner's land through the "sarkar poromboke" land.

10. Further, it was submitted by the petitioner that in the event of allotting a pathway to the petitioner's land, she is willing to pay all the necessary charges and value as determined by the Authorities concerned.

11. In the present case, as stated above, the petitioner's land is surrounded by the public and private properties, due to which, the ingress and egress was restricted at the subject property. In such case, the



W.P.No.45612 of 2025

petitioner is certainly entitled for a common pathway and it is the bounded duty of the respondents to find out a suitable land and provide access to the petitioner's land. It is not only about the petitioner's pathway but also about the pathway of other residents, who are residing in that area. In such case, it would be appropriate for the respondent to consider it as a common pathway for all the residents of that area and allot the same at free of cost for the welfare of general public. However, since the petitioner is voluntarily coming forward to pay the cost for allotment of pathway, the same shall also be considered. Ultimately, it is up to the Department to decide with regard to the allotment of pathway either as private pathway, only for the petitioner, upon payment of cost or as a common pathway, for all the residents of that area, at free of cost.

12. In view of the above, the respondents are directed to consider the representation dated 12.11.2025 and allot a pathway. However, it is up to the respondent to either determine an appropriate value for allotment of pathway for the petitioner's property or allot a common pathway at free of cost for the usage of general public. In the event, if the respondent is intend to determine any value for such allotment, upon



W.P.No.45612 of 2025

receipt of all the necessary charges, along with the value determined by the Authorities, from the petitioner, the respondent can transfer the land, allotted for pathway, in favour of the petitioner. In the meantime, the Authorities shall provide a temporary access to the petitioner's property, forthwith, upon receipt of a copy of this order.

13. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

24.11.2025

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

nsa

To

1. The District Collector
Office Of The District Collector, Chengalpattu
District.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Chengalpattu District.

3. The Tahsildar
Vandalur Taluk, Chengalpattu District.

4. The Commissioner Land Administration
Land Administration Department,
2nd Floor, Ezhilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai 600005

6/7



WEB COPY



W.P.No.45612 of 2025

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY.J.,

nsa

5. The Secretary To Government
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai 600009.

W.P.No.45612 of 2025

24.11.2025