



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-11-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE C. SARAVANAN

WP No. 45560 of 2025

AND

WMP NO. 50743 OF 2025, WMP NO. 50744 OF 2025

1. Sri Shanmuga Ginning Factory
Represented by its Partner,
S. Soundararajan, No. 8/254,
Ravathanoor, A.Pudur, Salem-637102

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Commercial Tax Officer/The
State Tax Officer(fac)
Edappadi Assessment Circle, Salem-II,
Zone, Salem Division, Tamilnadu

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

calling for the respondent demand order made in Reference No.ZD330225081267H dated 08.02.2025 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondent to given an opportunity of personal hearing



For Petitioner(s): P. Suresh Babu
For Respondent: Ms. Amirtha Poonkodi Dinakaran,
Government Advocate

ORDER

Ms. Amirtha Poonkodi Dinakaran, learned Government Advocate takes notice for the Respondent.

2. This Writ Petition is being disposed of at the time of admission with the consent of the learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned Government Advocate for the Respondent.

3. In this Writ Petition, the Petitioner has challenged the impugned Order in FORM GST DRC-07 dated 08.02.2025 of the Respondent, which was preceded by a Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 23.11.2024 for the tax period between April 2020 and March 2021, wherein the Petitioner was also called upon to appear for personal hearing.



WEB COPY

4. The Petitioner however did not file any reply to the Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 23.11.2024. Thus, the impugned Order has been passed.

5. The learned Government Advocate for the Respondent on the other hand would submit that this Writ Petition is devoid of merits and is liable to be dismissed in the light of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Singh Enterprises Vs. Commissioner of Central Excise, Jamshedpur and others, (2008) 3 SCC 70*** and in ***Commissioner of Customs and Central Excise Vs. Hongo India Private Limited and another, (2009) 5 SCC 791*** and also in ***Assistant Commissioner (CT) LTU, Kakinada and others Vs. Glaxo Smith Kline Consumer Health Care Limited, 2020 SCC Online SC 440.***

6. That apart, it is submitted that the Petitioner has not substantiated the case with any documents and therefore, on this count also, this Writ Petition is liable to be dismissed.



7. Under similar circumstances, Orders have been quashed and cases have been remitted back to pass a fresh order on terms subject to such Assessee depositing 25% to 100% of the disputed tax depending upon the length of delay in approaching the Court. I do not find any reason to take a different view in this case.

8. Therefore, to balance the interest of both parties viz., the Assessee and the Revenue, the case is remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order subject to the Petitioner depositing 25% of the disputed tax in cash from the Petitioner's Electronic Cash Register within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

9. Within such time, the Petitioner shall also file a reply to the Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 23.11.2024 together with requisite documents to substantiate the case by treating the impugned Order dated 08.02.2025 as an addendum to the Show Cause Notice dated 23.11.2024.



10. Amount which has already recovered from the Petitioner shall be adjusted towards pre-deposit of 25% of the disputed tax as ordered above. This will be however subject to verification by the Respondent.

11. In case the Petitioner complies with the above stipulations, the Respondent shall proceed to pass a final order on merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of three (3) months of such reply/pre-deposit. Subject to the Petitioner complying with the above stipulations, the attachment of the bank account of the Petitioner shall also stand automatically raised/vacated.

12. It is made clear that bank attachment shall be lifted subject to the deposit of 25% of the disputed tax as ordered above and no other amount is in arrears barring the amount demanded under the impugned order.

13. In case the Petitioner fails to comply with any of the stipulations, the Respondent is at liberty to proceed against the Petitioner to recover the tax in



accordance with law as if this Writ Petition was dismissed *in limine* today.

14. Needless to state, before passing any such order, the Respondent shall give due notice to the Petitioner.

15. This Writ Petition stands disposed of with the above observations. No costs. Connected Writ Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

21-11-2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

ab

To

1. The Commercial Tax Officer/the State
Tax Officer(fac)
Edappadi Assessment Circle, Salem-ii,
Zone, Salem Division, Tamilnadu



WEB COPY

WP No. 45560 of 2025



C.SARAVANAN J.

ab

WP No. 45560 of 2025

21-11-2025

(1/2)