



WEB COPY

CRP Nos.6231 & 6282 of 202



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B. BALAJI

CRP Nos.6231 & 6282 of 2025

and

CMP No.31164 of 2025

Haja Bakrudeen
S/o.Manjur Shah Maraikayar,
No.39, Nagore Kalpagdaga Salai,
Nagapattinam Taluk,
Nagapattinam District.

Petitioner in both petitions

Vs

1. Sirajudeen
S/o.Meera Hussain,
No.7, Mohideen School Road,
11th Lane, Nagapattinam Taluk,
Nagapattinam District.

2.Nagore Dargha
Rep. by its Managing Trustee,
Nagapattinam Taluk,
Nagapattinam District.

Respondents in both
petitions

PRAYER in C.R.P.No.6231 of 2025: Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to set aside the order and decretal order passed in EA No.9/2025 in EA No.6/2023 in EP No.20/2021 in OS No.232/2011 on the file of District Munsif Court, Nagapattinam dated 22.09.2025 therefore to direct the 2nd respondent Trust to let in evidence on behalf of the petitioner side evidence, which has not been considered by the executing court and thus render justice.



PRAYER in CRP No. 6282 of 2025: Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to set aside the order and decretal order passed in EA.No.8/2025 in EA.No.6 of 2023 in EP.No.20 of 2021 in OS.No.232 of 2011 on the file of the District Munisf Court, Nagapatnam dated 22.09.2025 therefore to direct the 2nd Respondent trust to let in evidence on behalf of the petitioner side evidence, which has not been considered by the executing court and thus render justice.

Appearance of counsel in both C.R.P's

For Petitioner(s): Ms.S.Aswin Karthikeyan

For Respondents: Mr.V. Raghupathi

COMMON ORDER

Since the issue involved and the relief sought in these two Civil Revision Petitions are identical in nature, the same were heard together and decided of vide this common order.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner fairly brings to my notice that after filing of the above revisions, the respondent/decreed holder has taken possession of the subject property, though he reserves his right to challenge the factum of possession being taken in independent proceedings.



3. Recording the same and noting that nothing survives for consideration in the revisions, the factum of which is also confirmed by the learned counsel for the first respondent/caveator, these Civil Revision Petitions are dismissed.

No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

11-12-2025

Jd

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

To

The District Munisf Court, Nagapatnam.



WEB COPY

CRP Nos.6231 & 6282 of 202



P.B.BALAJI J.
jd

**CRP Nos.6231 & 6282
of 2025**

11-12-2025