



Crl.OP.No.31669 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.31669 of 2025

K.Easwari

... Petitioner

Vs.

State by

Inspector of Police,

CCIW-CID Police Station,

Coimbatore.

... Respondent

(Cr.No.Not Known of 2025)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to grant anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in
Crime No.Not Known of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.L.Ramu

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archanna

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under



CrI.OP.No.31669 of 2025

Sections 318(4), 335 336 and 338 in Cr.No.Not Known of 2025, on the file
of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that while the petitioner was working as a Manager of the Thudiyalur Co-operative Agricultural Services Ltd., No.K.1550 (TUCAS) involved in granting loan and pledging spurious jewels, by joining hands with other accused. The Statutory enquiry revealed that totally there are Rs.2.93 crores of funds of the society has been misappropriated by way of falsification of records and also by committing forgery and cheating. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that though the petitioner was working as a Manager and granted loan, she has not granted any loan for spurious gold and the appraiser alone is verified the jewels and on his recommendation, loans were granted. He further submitted



that already the property worth about Rs.2.93crores have been attached by the society and she undertakes that this property will be the security till the completion of trial in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and reported that the total amount involved in this case is Rs.2.93 crores and all the accused persons were actively participated in this case and the petitioner who is the authority to sanction loan and she was also aware that there is a malpractice adopted while granting loan to one M.Charles and hence opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking note of the fact that the property of the petitioner has already been attached, worth about Rs.2.93crores and recording the submission she will not alienate the property. I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the



petitioner, subject to certain conditions

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made, before the learned Judicial **Magistrate Court-IV, Coimbatore** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)** **with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY

CrI.OP.No.31669 of 2025



(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

20.11.2025

Vv



Crl.OP.No.31669 of 2025



To

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate-IV, Chengalpattu
2. The Inspector of Police,
CCIW-CID Police Station,
Coimbatore.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.31669 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.31669 of 2025



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.31669 of 2025



20.11.2025