



Crl.OP.No.31593 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.11.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**

**Crl.O.P.No.31593 of 2025**

Kannan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State by  
Inspector of Police,  
CCB Police,  
Tambaram City Police,  
Tambaram.  
(Crime No.32 of 2025)

... Respondent

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya  
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in the event of  
his arrest, pending investigation in Crime No.32 of 2025 on the file of the  
respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.S.Sathosa Kumar

For Intervenor : Mr.B.Vijay

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



**ORDER**

WEB COPY

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 34 of IPC in Cr.No.32 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner joined hands with the other accused in fabricating a Power of Attorney and a life certificate of the original owner, one Lieutenant General Sankaran, thereby cheating in respect of the property, which led to the registration of the FIR. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that A1 in this case had already filed an affidavit before the Hon'ble High Court stating that he would cancel the alleged documents and is also willing to pay some amount. He has also annexed the typed set of papers and the affidavit. He further submitted that the petitioner is only a witness to the document, has been falsely implicated, and is ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel appearing for the intervenor submitted that the defacto complainant is one of the legal heirs of the original owner, Lieutenant General Sankaran. He submitted that five years after his death, the petitioner, in collusion with the other accused, fabricated the Power of Attorney and executed sale deeds. In all these documents, the petitioner is cited as a witness, and he was aware that the **deceased E.Sankaran** was not the person executing the Power of Attorney. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the FIR was registered only recently, A1 has been arrested and released on certain conditions, the other accused are still absconding, and the investigation is pending. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the serious allegations attributed against the petitioner and that he is also involved in the fabrication of the documents in question,



Crl.OP.No.31593 of 2025

and further, that granting anticipatory bail at this stage may hamper the investigation, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

**19.11.2025**

Vv

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-I,  
Tambaram
2. The Inspector of Police,  
CCB Police, Tambaram City Police,  
Tambaram.
3. The Public Prosecutor  
High Court of Madras, Chennai 600 104.

**K.RAJASEKAR, J.**

Vv



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.31593 of 2025

**Crl.O.P.No.31593 of 2025**

**19.11.2025**