



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.31959 of 2025

Santhi

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep by
Inspector of Police,
Vedaranyam Police Station,
Nagapattinam District.
Crime No.201 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of her arrest in Crime No.201 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Kingston Jerold

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side).

ORDER

The petitioner herein apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 194(3) of BNS @ 108, 49, 85 of BNS in Crime No.201 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police,



WEB COPY

seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner herein is the mother-in-law of the victim girl who committed suicide by hanging due to harassment done to her. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the co-accused in this case was enlarged on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.O.P.No.28039 of 2025. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (CrI Side) would submit that, investigation in this case almost completed and co-accused has been released on anticipatory bail in CrI.O.P.No.28039 of 2025 dated 14.10.2025. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, the investigation is almost completed and the fact that the co-accused who is arrayed as accused No.1 and similarly placed with the petitioner is already granted bail by this Court, hence, this Court is inclined to grant



anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Vedaranyam**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix her photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court herself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24.11.2025

kmm

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Vedaranyam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Vedaranyam Police Station,
Nagapattinam District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

CrI.O.P.No.31959 of 2025