



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.31376 of 2025

Abdul Hameed

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State

Represented by the Inspector of Police

D-3, Ice House Police Station,

Triplicane, Chennai – 600 005.

Crime No.Not Known of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.Not Known of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Muruges

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,

Government Advocate (Criminal Side).



WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner herein apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 118(2), 296(b), 31(2) of 2023 in Crime No. Not Known of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that, due to wordy quarrel, petitioner along with other accused abused and assaulted the defacto complainant with bottle and knife, due to which, defacto complainant sustained grievous injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. He further submitted that, apart from this case, petitioner has no previous case. Hence, he strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to



the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsels on either side and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and also the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **II Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only)** **with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:



[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 05.30 p.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;



WEB COPY

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

18.11.2025

kmm

To

1. The Inspector of Police
D-3, Ice House Police Station,
Triplicane, Chennai – 600 005.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

Crl.O.P.No.31376 of 2025

18.11.2025