



WEB COPY



Contempt Petition No.3977 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

Contempt Petition No.3977 of 2025

Polyhose India Private Limited,
Rep. by its Managing Director,
Nos.186 & 187, Poonamallee High Road,
Kilpauk,
Chennai – 600 010.

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.Mr.Dinesh Ponraj Oliver
The Inspector General of Registration,
No.100, Santhome High Road,
Chennai.
- 2.Ms.Sudhamala
The Additional Inspector General of Registration,
No.100, Santhome High Road,
Chennai.
- 3.Mr. Rajkumar
The Deputy Inspector General of Registration,
First Line Beach Road,
Rajaji Salai,
Chennai.
- 4.Ms.Punitha
The District Registrar,
Chengalpattu,
No.17, Rajaji Street,
Opposite Kavitha Studio,
Kancheepuram.



Contempt Petition No.3977 of 2025

5.Mr.Ramesh
The Sub-Registrar,
Thiruporur,
23, South Mada Street,
Thiruporur – 603 110,
Kancheepuram District.

... Respondents

Prayer:

Contempt petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, praying to punish the respondents for willful disobedience of the order of the Hon'ble Court dated 01.09.2022 passed in W.P. No.3632 of 2016.

For Petitioner : Mr.Vikram Veerasamy
For Respondents : Mr.U.Baranidharan
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

This contempt petition is filed alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 01.09.2022 made in W.P.No.3632 of 2016.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner filed writ petition challenging the demand made by the respondents in respect of the document registered by the petitioner and the said writ petition was allowed by this Court and challenging the same, the respondents preferred writ appeal in



Contempt Petition No.3977 of 2025

W.A.SR.No.23905 of 2017 along with civil miscellaneous petition in C.M.P.No.5616 of 2017 and in the said civil miscellaneous petition the Hon'ble Division Bench recorded the submission made by the learned Additional Advocate General who appeared on behalf of the petitioners therein, that the entire stamp duty demanded has been paid by the petitioner herein and hence, the Hon'ble Division Bench issued direction to the respondents herein to release the petition's document, however, the same has not yet been complied. The learned counsel further submitted that the required stamp duty and registration fee was paid, however, the respondents are seeking the differential amount.

3.The learned Special Government Pleader submitted that inadvertently, the then learned Additional Advocate General made the statement as if the entire amount has been paid, however, the amount demanded was not paid by the petitioner and further submitted that subsequently, W.A.SR.No.23905 of 2017 has been numbered as W.A.No.1298 of 2017 and this Court may grant liberty to the petitioner to revive the contempt petition after disposal of the writ appeal, if the respondents lose their case. He further submitted that the required stamp duty is Rs.9,80,72,114/-, whereas, the petitioner has paid



WEB COPY



Contempt Petition No.3977 of 2025

M.DHANDAPANI,J.
pri

stamp duty of Rs.5,88,00,500/- and further submitted that the required registration fees is Rs.1,40,10,302/-, whereas, the petitioner has paid registration fees of Rs.84,00,150/-.

4.In view of the submission made by the learned Special Government Pleader, this Contempt Petition is closed.

05.12.2025

pri

Index: Yes/ No
Speaking Order: Yes/ No
NCC: Yes/ No

**Contempt Petition
No.3977 of 2025**

05.12.2025

4/4