



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.31380 of 2025

1. Praveenkumar
2. Chinnadurai

... Petitioners

Vs.

The Inspector of Police,
Omalur Police Station,
Salem District.
Crime No.595 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.595 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Praveen

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioners herein apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b),



WEB COPY

115(2) & 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.595 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioners abused and attacked the defacto complainant and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that injured has been discharged from the hospital. He further submitted that the first petitioner is involved in five previous cases and the second petitioner has no previous case. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



[a] if the second petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the second petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the second petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the second petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;



WEB COPY

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

18.11.2025

kmm

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Omalur, Salem District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Omalur Police Station,
Salem District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

Crl.O.P.No.31380 of 2025

18.11.2025