



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-11-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 31194 of 2025

1. Rajeshkumar

2. Ashokkumar

3. Arunkumar

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State represented by Inspector of police

M -8 Sathangadu Police Station, Chennai-600068 Respondent(s)

Crime No. 346 of 2025.

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS Act, to enlarge the petitioners on bail, in Crime No. 346 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 31194 of 2025



For Petitioner(s): Mr.Kishore Raj

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 351(3), 126(2) of BNS 2023, and Section 4 of the TNPWH Act, 2002, in Crime No.346 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, due to previous enmity, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant and also attacked the defacto complainant and caused simple injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as




alleged by the prosecution.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that due to previous enmity, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, as a result of which, defacto complainant sustained simple injuries. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from the hospital. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submissions made on either side, and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvottiyur** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for



a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)** each, with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;



[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

WEB COPY

17-11-2025

gbi



To

WEB COPY

1.State represented by Inspector of police

M -8 Sathangadu Police Station,
Chennai-600068 Crime No. 346 of 2025.

2.The Judicial Magistrate,
Thiruvottiyur.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 31194 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
gbi

**CRL OP No. 31194 of
2025**

17-11-2025