



WEB COPY



A.No.5800 of 2025
in OP No.243 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

A No. 5800 of 2025

IN

OP NO. 243 OF 2025

1. Karthick

S/o. Suruli, No.6/6A, Jagannathapuram
colony, 5th Street, Surampatti, Erode, 638
009.

Applicant(s)

Vs

1. Nalini

W/o. Karthick, D/o. N.Krishnan, 9/27,
TTK 1 Cross Street, Opp to Shanthi
Apartment, Alwarpet, Teynampet,
Chennai 600 018.

Respondent(s)

OP No. 243 of 2025

1. Karthick

S/o. Suruli, No.6/6A, Jagannathapuram
colony, 5th Street, Surampatti, Erode, 638
009.



A.No.5800 of 2025
in OP No.243 of 2025

WEB COPY

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Nalini

W/o. Karthick, D/o. N.Krishnan, 9/27,
TTK 1 Cross Street, Opp to Shanthi
Apartment, Alwarpet, Teynampet,
Chennai 600 018.

Respondent(s)

A No. 5800 of 2025

For Applicant(s): M/s.J.JAWAHAR
J.Ranjith Kumar

For Respondent:

ORDER

This application has been filed by the applicant to permit the applicant to file additional documents.

2. According to the applicant, he is the petitioner in the main petition filed for custody of the minor children. Already the evidence of PW1 was recorded. The case is posted for examination of plaintiff side evidence. During the course of preparation of evidence, the petitioner realised that vital documents like Pay Slips and



A.No.5806 of 2025
in OP No.243 of 2025

some photographs were inadvertently not filed along with the original petition.

Therefore, in order to prove the case of the petition, those documents are essential to prove the case. Therefore, filed this application.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent strongly opposed to allow this application and the petition mentioned documents are prior to the filing of the original petition and the petitioner has not stated any reasons for non production of documents along with the petition. Moreover, all the documents are not relevant to this case and therefore, strongly objected.

4. Considering the nature of application and the evidence has not been recorded so far and there is no filing affidavit, this application is filed to include the documents. As far as the admissibility, relevancy and proof, it cannot be tested through this application. This application is filed only to grant leave for production of documents belatedly. Therefore, this petition is allowed and the documents can be received subject to proof, relevancy and admissibility. The respondent is at liberty to



A.No.5806 of 2025
in OP No.243 of 2025

raise any objections at the time of marking the documents in all aspects.

WEB COPY

5. Post the matter before the learned Master for recording evidence on 16.12.2025. The learned Master is directed to strictly comply the orders passed by this Court in granting adjournments.

08.12.2025

(1/2)

mtl

P.DHANABAL, J.

mtl



WEB COPY



A.No.5800 of 2025
in OP No.243 of 2025

A No. 5800 of 2025
IN OP NO. 243 OF 2025



WEB COPY



A.No.5806 of 2025
in OP No.243 of 2025

08.12.2025