



WEB COPY



W.P. No.44840 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.11.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN

W.P. No.44840 of 2025

Mr.K.MG.Hasan Abdul Cader

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.State Information Commissioner,
Block No.19, Government Farm Village,
Panepet, Nandanam,
Chennai – 600 035.

2.Tahsildhar,
No.3, Raja Muthaiah Road,
Periyamet Kannapparthidal,
Chennai – 600 003.

3.Mumtaz Banu

...Respondents

Prayer : This writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records pertaining to the impugned order in Na.Ka.No.B1/2802/2024 dated 29.07.2025 passed by the 1st respondent, and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary, and violative of principles of natural justice and to consequently direct the 2nd respondent to act as per the Direction of 1st Respondent vide Order dated 29.07.2025.



WEB COPY

W.P. No.44/2025



For Petitioner(s) : Mr.Ram Pranav
For Mr.S.Veeraraghavan

For Respondent(s) : Mr.C.Vigneshwaran (For R1)
Mr.L.S.M.Hasan Fizal
Additional Government Pleader
(For R2)

O R D E R

Heard Mr.Ram Pranav, learned counsel for the petitioner; Mr.C.Vigneshwaran, learned counsel for the 1st respondent and Mr.L.S.M.Hasan Fizal, learned Additional Government Pleader for the 2nd respondent.

2. The plea of the petitioner is that the order passed by the State Information Commission on 06.05.2025 has been violated by the 2nd respondent. He states that the information given by the 2nd respondent is false and misleading. Under Section 18(1)(e) of the Right to Information Act of 2005, the Commission has the power to decide, if there is a violation of its order. While conducting the said exercise, the first respondent also has the power to act like a Civil Court and record evidence in order to satisfy itself as to whether its order has been complied with or not.



W.P. No.44

WEB COPY

3. In a writ petition, this Court cannot embark on the exercise of recording evidence as regards the satisfaction or otherwise of the order passed by the Commission.

4. Hence, this writ petition is dismissed. The petitioner is at liberty to move the first respondent with an appropriate application, stating that its order has not been complied with. No costs.

20.11.2025

Index : Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

Speaking or Non-speaking order

kak

To

1.State Information Commissioner,
Block No.19, Government Farm Village,
Panepet, Nandanam,
Chennai – 600 035.

2.Tahsildhar,
No.3, Raja Muthaiah Road,
Periyamet Kannapparthidal,
Chennai – 600 003.

3/4



WEB COPY



W.P. No.44840 of 2025

V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.

kak

W.P. No.44840 of 2025

Dated : 20.11.2025