



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.Nos.30473, 30474 and 30475 of 2025 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.Nos.30473, 30474 and 30475 of 2025

Vennila

... Petitioner
(Crl.O.P.No.30473 of 2025)

K.Govindaraj

... Petitioner
(Crl.O.P.No.30474 of 2025)

Tanuja

... Petitioner
(Crl.O.P.No.30475 of 2025)

Vs.

State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Thandrampet Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai.
(Cr.No.222 of 2025)

... Respondent
(in all Crl.OPs')

COMMON PRAYER : Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.222 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of respondent police.

1/5



Crl.OP.Nos.30473, 30474 and 30475 of 2025 of 2025

For Petitioners : Mr.V.Balamurugan

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

WEB COPY

COMMON ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.222 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that, due to a property dispute, they joined hands with the other accused and attacked the defacto complainant with an iron rod, causing severe injuries. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that it is a case and counter case. Hence, he prayed for



grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and reported that a total of three accused are involved in this case, that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, and that there are no previous cases against the petitioners. However, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by both counsel, and since the injured has been discharged from the hospital, there are no previous cases reported against the petitioners, and it is a case and counter case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen (15) days



from the date on which the order copy made, before the learned **Judicial**

Magistrate-II, Dharmapuri on condition that each of the petitioners shall

execute separate bonds for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty**

Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction

learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;**



Crl.OP.Nos.30473, 30474 and 30475 of 2025 of 2025

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

10.11.2025

Vv

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II,
Dharmapuri
2. The Inspector of Police,
Thandrapet Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.

5/5



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.Nos.30473, 30474 and 30475 of 2025 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.Nos.30473, 30474 and 30475 of 2025



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.Nos.30473, 30474 and 30475 of 2025 of 2025

10.11.2025