



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 30251 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-11-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 30251 of 2025

Baskar

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by The Inspector of
Police,
Neyveli Thermal Police Station,
Cuddalore District. (Crime No.110 of
2025

Respondent(s)

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in respect of Crime No. 110 of 2025 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Neyveli Thermal Police Station, Cuddalore District.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.R.Babu

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest by the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 318(2), 318(4) and 62 of BNS, in Crime No.110 of 2025 seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused was found to be in illegal possession of fake Iridium for the purpose of sale. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is only onlookers and had merely gone to the spot to ascertain whether the offer made by the prime accused regarding the sale of iridium would fetch any profit by identifying prospective buyers. It is further submitted that, insofar as the petitioner is concerned, no money was received and no one was cheated. It is also submitted that the co-accused has been granted bail by this Court in Crl.OP.No.27424 of 2025 vide order dated 15.10.2025. Hence, the learned counsel prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent police submits that the petitioner acted as mediator to procure prospective buyers by projecting fake credentials and the investigation in this case is pending. He further submitted that the petitioner is also actively attempted to sell the fake iridium materials. Hence, he opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the fact that there was no money transacted or any person



was cheated in this case and only an attempt to cheat the general public by claiming that the petitioner and other accused were in possession of iridium, the co-accused has also granted bail by this Court, and the investigation in this case is pending, hence the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the *learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruthuraipoondi, Thiruvarur District*, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

25-11-2025

gbi

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No



To

WEB COPY

- 1.The State Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Neyveli Thermal Police Station,
Cuddalore District. (Crime No.110 of 2025
- 2.The Judicial magistrate,
Thiruthuraipoondi, Thiruvarur District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 30251 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
gbi

**CRL OP No. 30251 of
2025**

25-11-2025