



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.30322 of 2025

Muthukrishnan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Tamil Nadu Rep by
Sub Inspector of Police,
Kannamangalam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.409 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.409
of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kasirajan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was apprehending arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 281, 125(A),
106(1), 336(2), 336(3), 340(2), 318(4) of BNS in Crime No.409 of 2025,
seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with the other accused, transported the goods in the boot of the vehicle, and due to rash and negligent driving of the vehicle, three persons died. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is merely the owner of the vehicle and, for that reason alone, he has been arrayed as an accused. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case, contending that the vehicle belongs to the petitioner, and therefore, he strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by learned counsels on either side, and also taking into account that the petitioner is only the owner of the vehicle and has been arrayed as an accused, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate Court, Tiruvannamalai**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall



obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure his identity;

[c] the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

21.11.2025

kmm



To

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court, Tiruvannamalai.
2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Kannamangalam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR.J.,

kmm

CrI.O.P.No.30322 of 2025

21.11.2025