



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **06.11.2025**

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**

**CRL.O.P.No.30201 of 2025**

Venkatesan

... Petitioner

Versus

The State rep by its,  
The Sub Inspector of Police,  
V3 JJ Nagar Police Station,  
Anna Nagar, Chennai.  
(Crime No.453 of 2025)

.. Respondent

**Prayer:-** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.453 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Ms.R.J.Radhika

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar,  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

**ORDER**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest by the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 296(b), 302, 329(4), 351(2) and Section 4 of the TNPHW Act, in Crime No.453 of 2025 seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The allegation against the petitioner is that he had collected a sum



of Rs.7,50,000/- from the defacto complainant's father as a lease amount and subsequently failed to return back the money. When the defacto complainant demanded the money back, the petitioner allegedly threatened her with dire consequences and abused her in filthy language. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.3,50,000/-. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the FIR was registered recently and the investigation is still pending. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and the fact that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.3,50,000/-. Since the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not



required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the ***learned Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur***, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.3,50,000/- (Rupees Three Lakhs Fifty Thousand only) to the credit of Crime No.453 of 2025 on the file of the respondent within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and the same shall be deposited in any of the interest bearing account in nationalized bank until the disposal of case.

(b) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

**(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks**



WEB COPY

**and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;**

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

**06.11.2025**

drl

**To**

1.The Judicial Magistrate,  
Ambattur.

4/6



2. The Sub Inspector of Police,  
V3 JJ Nagar Police Station,  
Anna Nagar, Chennai.

WEB COPY

3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.

**K.RAJASEKAR, J.**

drl



WEB COPY



**CRL.O.P.No.30201 of 2025**

**06.11.2025**