



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 11.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.30168 of 2025

Wilson Vajram

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Sub-Inspector of Police,
Thirupathur Taluk Police Station,
Thirupathur District.
Crime No.450 of 2017

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita Act, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.450 of 2017 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Parthiban

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner herein apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 120(B) and 420 of IPC in Crime No.450 of 2017, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner with the intention of usurping the properties of C.K.Ashram located in Kathirimangalam Village, attempted to deceive by forging the Voter I.D. Card of one George A.Raja and by impersonating him, prepared a fake Will which was submitted as a genuine document. Hence the case.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that a Civil Suit in O.S.No.30 of 2016 is pending against the said Trust before the Additional District Munsif Court, Tirupattur and the petitioner herein contesting the suit. He would further submit that the criminal case is of the year 2017. The petitioner appeared before the respondent police and now, apprehending arrest, this petition is filed.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the occurrence was of the year 2017 and the investigation has not been completed. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the fact that the case was registered in the year 2017 during pendency of suit before the Civil Court, this Court is inclined to grant



anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate II, Tirupattur**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

05.11.2025

kmm

To

1. The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Thirupathur Taluk Police Station,
Thirupathur District.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.30168 of 2025