



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.30038 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.30038 of 2025

Karunyam Rajadurai

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Chettipalayam Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
(Crime No.349 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on bail in Crime No.349 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Balaji

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 30.09.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w 20(b)(ii)(B), 25 and 29(1) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Act, 1985, in Crime No.349 of 2025, registered on the file of the



Crl.O.P.No.30038 of 2025

respondent, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused were found to be in illegal possession of 1,300 kgs of Ganja and 41 numbers of Tapentadol tablets. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are totally 3 accused in this case, and the petitioner is ranked as A1. He further submitted that the petitioner has five previous cases, and that the investigation in this case is still pending.



Crl.O.P.No.30038 of 2025

5. Considering the gravity of the offences and bad antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is of the considered view that granting bail to the petitioner may result in petitioner involve in similar offences hence, it is not a fit case to grant bail.

6. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

05.11.2025

cda

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Chettipalayam Police Station,
Coimbatore District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.30038 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

cda

Crl.O.P.No.30038 of 2025

05.11.2025