



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 2319 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-11-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL RC No. 2319 of 2025

1. S.Bhaktheeswaran
S/o. A. Sivalingam, Flat No.431, 4th
Floor, Deccan Block, P Dot G Express
Apartments, Manikandan Nagar,
Kundrathur Main Road,
Thiruneermalai, Chennai-600 044

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. N.Ramachandran
S/o. B.Najan, Plot No.1961-B, 4th
Floor, Vijaya Complex above Indian
Bank, Asiad Colony, Thirumangalam,
Anna Nagar West Extension,
Chennai-600 101

Respondent(s)

CRL RC No. 2319 of 2025

PRAYER

To set aside the order dated 26.09.2025 in Crl.M.P.No.12311 of 2025 in STC No.8022 of 2024 passed by the Honble Metropolitan Magistrate FAST TRACK COURT-I Judge at Allikulam and pass such other order this Honble Court may deem fit and thus render Justice.

CRL RC No. 2319 of 2025

For Petitioner(s): P.Jesusmoris Ravi
J.Pravin
Vishnu P
C.Swetha
P.Varsha

For Respondent:



JUDGEMENT

WEB COPY

This Criminal Revision Case has been filed to set aside the order dated 26.09.2025 in Crl.M.P.No.12311 of 2025 in STC No.8022 of 2024 passed by the Metropolitan Magistrate FAST TRACK COURT-I Judge at Allikulam.

2. The petitioner herein filed Crl.M.P. No. 12311 of 2025 in S.T.C No. 8022 of 2024 on the file of the Metropolitan Magistrate FAST TRACK COURT-I Judge at Allikulam, seeking to reopen the defence side evidence and produce the documents. On hearing both sides, the Trial Court held that it is unnecessary to receive the documents and recall DW1 for marking the same. Aggrieved over the same, the petitioner filed this Criminal Revision Case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner running a NGO for that he received donations from the defacto complainant, in order to produce the receipts with regard to payment of donations the petitioner filed the petition to reopen the defence side evidence but the said petition was dismissed by the Trial Court. If this petition is not allowed his valuable right to prove his case will be defeated. Hence, he prays to allow this petition.

4. Heard the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. On perusal of the records, it reveals that the petitioner running a Trust under the name and style of M/s. TANCCAO(Tamil Nadu Cyber Crime



Awareness Organization), In the said Trust the defacto complainant also taken part in some of the proceedings to that effect petitioner produced the photographs which shows that the defacto complainant and the petitioner have some relationship with regard to the said Trust as well attended others proceedings as participants during the course of the same there was transaction with regard to donation. To prove his defence the petitioner wants to mark those documents, whether it is relevant or not is decided only at the completion of trial. If the opportunity is not given to the petitioner, his valuable right will be defeated. Hence, the findings of the Trial Court is set aside and D.W1 is reopened and petitioner is permitted to mark the documents. Further, the petitioner shall cooperate for the Trial proceedings.

6. In the result, this Criminal Revision Case is allowed.

10-11-2025

pbl

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

To

The Metropolitan Magistrate FAST TRACK COURT-I Judge at Allikulam



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 2319 of 2025



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.
pbl

**CRL RC No. 2319 of
2025**

10-11-2025