



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL.O.P.No.29874 of 2025

1.Karthikeyan

2.Nagarajan

.. Petitioners

Versus

The State rep by its,
The Inspector of Police,
T-18, Moulivakkam Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
(Crime No.56 of 2025)

.. Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to
enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in
Crime No.56 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Logesh
For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest by the respondent police for



the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(2), 351(3) of BNS, Act in Crime No.56 of 2025 seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 20th October 2025 at 9.45 p.m., two men and three women entered Jayaprakash's/defacto complainant cracker shop near Kerugambakkam Bus Stand. After he refused a discount and there was quarrel, and later the petitioners returned with five others, assaulting the defacto complainant with stones and chairs.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the co-accused was released on bail by this Court. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the



first petitioner/A1 was already granted bail in CrI.OP.No.29686 of 2025 dated 31.10.2025. He further submitted that the injured has sustained simple injury and discharged from the hospital. Hence, he opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by both counsels, and taking note of the fact that the first petitioner had already granted bail by this Court in CrI.OP.No.29686 of 2025 dated 31.10.2025, this Court is of the view that no further relief can be granted to the first petitioner. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition as far as the first petitioner is concerned, stands dismissed.

7. Taking into account that no specific overt act has been attributed to the second petitioner and that the injured was discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the second petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the second petitioner is ordered to be released on



anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the *learned Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur* on condition that the second petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only), each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the second petitioner shall report before the respondent police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the second petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the second petitioner shall not abscond either during



investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the second petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the second petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

04.11.2025

drl

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Sriperumbudur.

2. The Inspector of Police,
T-18, Moulivakkam Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

drl

CRL.O.P.No.29874 of 2025



WEB COPY



04.11.2025