



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **04.11.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL.O.P.No.29922 of 2025

B.Vijay

... Petitioner

Versus

The State rep by its,
The Inspector of Police,
Sunguvarchatram Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
(Crime No.298 of 2025)

.. Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.298 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.N.Subramani

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest by the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 4(1)(c), 4(1-A)(ii) of TNP Act, 2024 in Crime No.298 of 2025 seeks anticipatory bail.



2.The allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other accused was found in illegal possession of 27 brandy bottles, each containing 180ml. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that no recovery has been made from the petitioner. He further submitted that co-accused was already released on bail by the Sessions Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has one previous case pending against him. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the fact that no recovery was made from the petitioner and the co-accused was already released on bail, this Court is inclined to grant



anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the *learned Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur*, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the



WEB COPY

conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

04.11.2025

drl

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Sriperumbudur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Sunguvarchatram Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

drl

CRL.O.P.No.29922 of 2025

04.11.2025