



WEB COPY

CRP No.5529 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11-11-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S. SOUNTHAR

**CRP No. 5529 of 2025 and
CMP Nos.27775 of 2025**

R.V.Dhandapani @ R.V.Ramesh (died)

1. Chitra, W/o w/o R.V.Dhandapani @ R.V.Ramesh
- 2 . Durgambiga, d/o w/o R.V.Dhandapani @ R.V.Ramesh
2nd petitioner represented by her power of attorney,
the 1st petitioner Chitra w/o R.V.Dhandapani
@ R.V.Ramesh (died) ... Petitioner(s)

Vs.

Venugopal Chettiar @ R.V.Gopal Chettiar (died)

- 1 . Roul (Raul)
- 2 . Union of India
- 3 . The District Registrar
- 4 . The Joint Sub Registrar
- 5 . Usha
- 6 . M/s Vetri Vinayagar Trader,
represented by its Managing Partner
V.Kalaiselvi, w/o Velayutham,
No.31, Mariamman Kovil Street,
Pudupet, Puducherry 605 008.
7. Rajeswari ... Respondent(s)



PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India to set aside the fair and final order dated 26.08.2025 made in I.A.No.12 of 2025 in O.S.No.197 of 2012 on the file of the Principal Sub Judge, Puducherry.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. K.S.Karthik Raja

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition is filed challenging the order passed by the Trial Court, dismissing the application filed by the petitioner seeking impleadment of the 7th and 8th respondent herein as parties to the suit.

2. The petitioners/plaintiffs herein filed a suit in O.S.No.197 of 2012 for declaration, declaring that the sale deed executed by the first defendant in favour of the 2nd defendant dated 12.02.2008 was null and void and also for direction to the defendants 1 to 5 to pay a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- jointly and severally as compensation towards mental agony suffered by the plaintiffs. Pending suit, the petitioners/plaintiffs filed impleading application seeking to implead the respondents 6 and 7 herein as defendants 7 and 8 in the suit.

3. It is the case of the petitioners/plaintiffs that after filing of the suit, they came to know about the partnership deed entered in the name of the 6th respondent and the affidavit executed by father in-law of the first petitioner,



namely, Grind Venugopal Chettiar @ R.V.Chettiar in favour of Kalaiselvi, Managing Partner, M/s Vetri Vinayagar Traders, the 6th respondent herein.

Hence, the petitioners/plaintiffs filed the instant amendment petition seeking their impleadment in the suit. It is also stated by the petitioners that the 6th respondent Firm is functioning in a portion of Item No.2 of the schedule mentioned properties.

4. The prayer in the suit is for declaration, declaring that the sale deed executed by the first defendant in favour of the 2nd defendant was null and void and for recovery of possession of the properties from the defendants 1 to 5. No relief is sought for against the proposed party. Having regard to the prayer sought for in the plaint, the proposed parties are not at all necessary parties. Further, the proposed parties are not parties to the sale deed sought to be declared as null and void. In such circumstances, their presence will not help the court in any way to decide the controversy involved in the lis. The court below, rightly dismissed the impleading petition, finding no merits and hence, I do not find any error to interfere with the said order.

5. Accordingly, this civil revision petition dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

11. 11. 2025

Internet: yes

Index: Yes/No

Neutral citation: Yes/No

MST



CRP No.5529 of 2019



To

The Principal Sub Judge, Puducherry.



WEB COPY

CRP No.5529 of 2



S.SOUNTHAR J.

MST

CRP No.5529 of 2025

11.11.2025