



WEB COPY

W.P.No.42626 of 2025 and W.M.P.Nos.47675 & 47678 of 2025

and

W.P.No.42633 of 2025 and W.M.P.Nos.47679 & 47680 of 2025

C.SARAVANAN,J.,

This matter came up for hearing today under the caption 'For Being Mentioned' at the instance of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner in both cases.

2.It is submitted that in the cause-title of the order dated 06.11.2025 the name of the counsel for petitioners has been wrongly mentioned as **“Tvl.Arokya Enterprises”** instead of **“Tvl.Arokiya Enterprises”**.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that in the final order passed by this Court on 06.11.2025 in W.P.Nos.42626 and 42633 of 2025, in paragraph No.10(ii) & (iii), it has been wrongly typed as follows:-

“10(ii) The petitioner, shall, however, deposit the balance 10% of the disputed tax amount, over and above the amount already deposited at the time of filing the appeals before the respondent.”

(iii)Upon such deposit of 10% of the disputed tax



WEB COPY

against the demand confirmed in each of the impugned orders, the first respondent shall pass de nova orders after hearing the petitioner. remitted back to the first respondent-Appellate Authority to pass a fresh order on merits after hearing the petitioner.”

and prays that the said mistake may be rectified in the order.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent in both cases submits that the order may be rectified.

5. Accordingly, in Paragraph No.10(ii) & (iii) of the order dated 06.11.2025 in the above Writ Petition shall be read as follows:-

“10(ii) The petitioner, shall, however, deposit the balance 10% of the disputed tax amount, over and above the amount already deposited at the time of filing the appeals before the respondent. Subject to the petitioner complying with the above stipulations, the attachment of the bank account of the petitioner shall also stand automatically raised/vacated.”

(iii) Upon such deposit of 10% of the disputed tax against the demand confirmed in each of the impugned orders, the first respondent shall pass de nova orders after hearing the petitioner.

6. Registry is directed to issue the fresh order copy after incorporating



the necessary corrections in the above said order dated 06.11.2025.

WEB COPY

12.11.2025

nvi

C.SARAVANAN,J.,



WEB COPY



nvi

W.P.No.42626 of 2025 and W.M.P.Nos.47675 & 47678 of 2025 and
W.P.No.42633 of 2025 and W.M.P.Nos.47679 & 47680 of 2025

12.11.2025