



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-11-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 29857 of 2025

1. Jeeva

S/o Elumalai, No.1-3-20,
SeerangamKattuvalavu, Nangavalli
Post, Salem District. and 6 Others

2. Arunachalam

S/o.Kandasamy, Kadaikaraiyanur,
Nangavalli Post, Salem District.

3. Sivaraman

S/o.Devaraj, No.12/4/224, Kammalar
Street, Nangavalli Post, Mettur, Salem
District.

4. Balamani

S/o.Govindaraj, No.1-2-1/3,
Nangavalli, Kattuvalavu, Salem
District.

5. Vikram

S/o.Kannan, No.1/23A, Seerangan,
Kattuvalavu, Nangavalli Post, Salem
District.

6. Akash

S/o.Prabhu, No.13/1-1-8,
VadakuRadhaVeethi, Nangavalli,
Mettur, Salem District.

7. Elango Alias Elangovan



S/o.Venkatesh, No.3/22,
SeerangamKattuvalavu, Nangavalli
Post, Salem District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep. By
Inspector of Police Nangavalli Police
Station, Salem District. (Crime.
No.319/2025)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest a case in crime No.319 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent and thus render Justice.

For Petitioner(s): C.Deepak Kumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 296(b), 115(2), and 351(3) of BNS in Crime No. 319 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, there arose a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, as a result of which, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries to him. Hence, the complaint.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that there is a case in counter case against the defacto complainant and a false case has been foisted against the petitioners. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that the petitioners have attacked the defacto complainant and caused grievous injuries to him. He would further submit that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and also of the fact that there is case in counter case and the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Mettur**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a**



separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only),

with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned

Magistrate concerned, and on further condition:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 05.00 p.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on



bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down
by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala*
[(2005) AIR SCW 5560];

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be
registered under Section 269 of BNS.

03-11-2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

mpa

To

1. Judicial Magistrate-I, Mettur.

2. The State Rep. By

Inspector of Police Nangavalli Police

Station, Salem District. (Crime.

No.319/2025)

3. The Public Prosecutor

High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 29857 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
mpa

**CRL OP No. 29857 of
2025**

03-11-2025