



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 31.10.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.29658 of 2025

Tamilarasu

... Petitioner

-VS-

State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Periyanaickempalayam AWPS,
Coimbatore District.
(Crime No.34 of 2025)

... Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS Act, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.34 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Mohammed Dharwish
For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 324(4)(5), 85, 108 of BNS r/w Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No.34 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against this petitioner is that this petitioner is ranked as A4 and he joined with A1, who is the husband of the deceased in this case and they involved in harassment of the deceased and on the date of occurrence, the petitioner and other accused allegedly forced open the door at the deceased's mother's house and attacked her. The petitioner allegedly used a stone to

**K. RAJASEKAR, J.**

rna

threaten the victim, damaged property, and verbally abused her, claiming to possess LEWD videos of her. Hence this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner is arrayed as A4, based on the dying declaration case was registered and the investigation is pending. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel on either side, the arrested accused are still in custody, the investigation is still pending, if the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail, there is possibility of tampering the witnesses and hampering the investigation, and this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

31.10.2025

rna

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Periyanaickempalayam AWPS,
Coimbatore District.

2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.29658 of 2025