



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **06.11.2025**

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.30216 of 2025

Vijayakumar

... Petitioner

-VS-

State of Tamil Nadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Vandhavasi, Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.22 of 2025)

... Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with the Spl.SC.No.187 of 2025, on the file of the Sessions Judge, Special Court for Exclusive of Trial Cases under POCSO Act, Tiruvannamalai.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Santhosh

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.05.2025 by the respondent police in connection with Crime No.22 of 2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 87, 64(2)(m) of BNS and Sections 5(1), 5(j)(ii), 6(1) of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (POCSO Act), seeks bail.

2. Earlier this Court dismissed the bail application on the following grounds:

“Considering the manner in which the petitioner is alleged to have sexually assaulted the victim girl and the fact that the DNA report is awaited, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.”

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner was arrested and in judicial custody from 18.05.2025 and ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and he is in continuous incarceration. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution's case and submitted that the trial is yet to be completed further pending in the earlier stage of the trial and there is no change



in circumstances after dismissal of the earlier bail application. Hence, he strongly opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY 5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel on either side, I have gone through the earlier order, it is reported that the trial is likely to be started, and this is the fourth bail application there is no change in circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

06.11.2025

rna

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Vandhavasi, Tiruvannamalai District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.3021



K. RAJASEKAR, J.

rna

Crl.O.P.No.30216 of 2025

06.11.2025