



WEB COPY



CRP(NPD).No.5941 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BALAJI

CRP(NPD).No.5941 of 2025

and

CMP.No.29308 of 2025

Umakanth

... Petitioner(s)

Vs.

Mohamed Zahoorullah

S/o.J.M.Inayatullah

... Respondent(s)

Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India to set aside the order and decretal order dated 25.09.2025 passed in R.L.T.A.No.37 of 2025 on the file of XV Additional City Civil Court, Chennai/Rent Tribunal dismissing the appeal filed by the petitioner herein and confirming the order and decree dated 28.11.2024 passed by XII Small Causes Court/ Rent Court in R.L.T.O.P.No.319 of 2023 and pass such further order.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.K.Jayaraj

For Respondent : Mr.Inamdar Ameenur Rahman Salam



WEB COPY



CRP(NPD).No.5941 of 2025

ORDER

This civil revision petition is filed to set aside the order and decretal order dated 25.09.2025 passed in R.L.T.A.No.37 of 2025 on the file of XV Additional City Civil Court, Chennai/Rent Tribunal dismissing the appeal filed by the petitioner herein and confirming the order and decree dated 28.11.2024 passed by XII Small Causes Court/ Rent Court in R.L.T.O.P.No.319 of 2023.

2. Heard Mr.A.K.Jayaraj, the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr.Inamdar Ameenur Rahman Salam, the learned Counsel for the respondent.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that with undue haste, soon after dismissal of the RLTA, the respondent is proceeding to evict the petitioner from the tenant premises. He would further state that even the certified copies of the judgment in RLTA were not made available to the



CRP(NPD).No.5941 of 2025

petitioner. He would further state that similarly placed tenants were granted

six months time to vacate and hence he seeks for some reasonable time to

permit the petitioner to peacefully vacate and handover vacant possession.

4. Per contra, the learned Counsel for the respondent / landlord would submit that pursuant to the dismissal of the RLTA, execution petition in EP.No.378 of 2025 was filed and the delivery of possession has been effected on 25.10.2025. Further contention of the learned Counsel is that subsequent to eviction of petitioner, new tenant has also been inducted into the premises.

5. In the light of the above, taking into account the fact that the possession has been delivered to the respondent through Court process, I do not find that anything survives for consideration in the present revision.

6. In fine, the Civil Revision Petition stands dismissed. No costs.



CRP(NPD).No.5941 of 2022

Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

WEB COPY

21.11.2025

Index: Yes/No

Speaking Order/Non-Speaking Order

veda

To

1.XV Additional City Civil Court, Chennai/Rent Tribunal.



WEB COPY



CRP(NPD).No.5941 of 2025

P.B.BALAJI, J.

veda

CRP(NPD).No.5941 of 2025

and

CMP.No.29308 of 2025



WEB COPY



CRP(NPD).No.5941 of 2025

21.11.2025