



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 40895 of 2025

John Victor Arunkumar,
Son of S.J.V.Chakkaravarthi,
No.256, Venkateshwara Oil Mill Street,
Kamaraj Nagar, Avadi,
Chennai 600 071.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1.The Inspector General of Registration,
No.100, Santhome High Road,
Chennai 600 028.

2.The District Registrar (Administration),
O/o. District Registrar,
Registration Department,
No.10, Kancheepuram Main Road,
Chengalpattu 603 002.

3.The Joint I Sub-registrar,
O/o.Joint I Sub Registrar,
Registration Department,
No.10, Kancheepuram Main Road,
Chengalpattu 603 002.



4.Mrs.Jennita Catherine,
D/o.S.J.V.Chakkaravarthi,
Plot No.11, R.V. Street, Alagasa Nagar,
Chengalpattu 603 001.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER:-Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for an issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the 2nd respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation / complaint dated 19.07.2025 by conducting a proper enquiry.


For Petitioner(s): Mr.K.V.Muthu Visakan

For Respondent: Mr.Stalin Abhimanyu
Additional Government Pleader
for R1 to R3

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking to direct the 2nd respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation / complaint dated 19.07.2025 by conducting a proper enquiry.

2.Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is duly appointed and continuing Trustee of Dewi Saraswathi India Trsut, founded



by his mother. The petitioner came to know that the 4th respondent, who is the sister of the petitioner in collusion with third parties, has misappropriated Trust funds and without any approval or consent from the petitioner appointed three new Trustees and executed Supplementary Trust Deed dated 02.07.2025 and registered the same in the 3rd respondent Office in Doc.No.29 of 2025. Therefore, the petitioner sent a complaint to the respondents 1 to 3, requesting to take action against the 4th respondent for registering the forged document. Since no action was taken so far, based on the said complaint, the present writ petition has been filed.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court suggested the petitioner to approach the Civil Court, which is the proper forum to resolve the dispute in the present case and the Civil Court found mistake on the part of the 4th respondent, the petitioner can make a representation to the Authorities concerned to take proper action. Therefore, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave of this Court to withdraw the present writ petition with grant liberty to approach the Civil Court and he has also made an endorsement to that effect.



4. Taking into consideration of the submission and the endorsement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to approach the Civil Court. No costs.

30-10-2025

rst

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To

WEB COPY

1.The Inspector General of Registration,
No.100, Santhome High Road,
Chennai 600 028.

2.The District Registrar (Administration),
O/o.District Registrar,
Registration Department,
No.10 Kancheepuram Main Road,
Chengalpattu 603 002.

3.The Joint I Sub-Registrar,
O/o.Joint I Sub Registrar,
Registration Department,
No.10 Kancheepuram Main Road,
Chengalpattu 603 002.



WEB COPY

WP No. 40895 of 2025



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

rst

WP No. 40895 of 2025

30-10-2025