



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **04.11.2025**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL.O.P.No.29884 of 2025

Vikraman

... Petitioner

Versus

State rep by its,
The Inspector of Police,
North Beach Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.306 of 2025)

.. Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.306 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Vinodhkumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest by the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 309(5) of BNS, in Crime No.306 of 2025 seeks anticipatory bail.



2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is working in the cell phone shop. The defacto complainant along with his friend went to Evening Bazaar in order to sell the I-phones. After sale, they received the money to the tune of Rs.7,00,000/- and kept the cash in the bag. When they were riding their two wheeler, the petitioner along with other three accused came and dashed the defacto complainant vehicle and robbed the cash bag with knife point and escaped. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been arrayed as A9 and the arrested co-accused/A6 was released on bail by this Court in CrI.OP.No.28867 of 2025 dated 24.10.2025. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that if the petitioner is released on bail, there is a possibility of commission of same offence and abscond and stated that he is not having any bad antecedents. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (CrI.side) and perused the materials available on



record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by both side counsels, and taking note of the fact that the arrested co-accused have already been enlarged on bail and he has no previous cases, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.70,000/- (Rupees Seventy Thousand only) to the credit of Crime No.306 of 2025 before the trial Court, on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the ***learned VII Metropolitan Magistrate, George Town, Chennai***, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



WEB COPY

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, everyday at 10.00 a.m., until further orders.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

04.11.2025

drl

To

1.The Metropolitan Magistrate No.VII,
George Town, Chennai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
North Beach Police Station,
Chennai.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

drl

CRL.O.P.No.29884 of 2025

04.11.2025