



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 29764 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO. 29764 of 2025

Vijayaragavan

Petitioner

Vs

The State rep. by

The Inspector of Police

Mandharakuppam Police Station

Cuddalore District

Crime No. 96 of 2025.

Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in
the event of his arrest in connection with the Crime No. 96 of 2025 on the
file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.John

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar

Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 329(4), 74, 79,
118(2), 133, 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of TNPWH Act, in Crime



No. 96 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that he is the husband of the de-facto complainant. Due to misunderstanding between them, the de-facto complainant is living with her parental house. On 08.06.2025 at about 7.30 a.m., the petitioner & his mother went to her house, quarrelled with her mother and sister and they severely attacked them using filthy language and caused severe fractured injuries and harassed the de-facto complainant. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for his release. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the



petitioner.



Crl.OP.No. 29764 of 2023

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side)

appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submits that due to misunderstanding, the de-facto complainant is living at

her parental home, and the petitioner had caused severe fractured injuries and harassed the de-facto complainant. Therefore, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the fact that the petitioner caused severe injuries including fractures to the women and this Court already dismissed the anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner herein in Crl.O.P.No.



Crl.OP.No. 29764 of 2025

28405 of 2025, dated 17.10.2025 and there is no change in circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

03.11.2025

MSM

To

1. The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Neyveli.

2. The Inspector of Police
Mandharakuppam Police Station
Cuddalore District

Crime No. 96 of 2025.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No. 29764 of 2023

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MSM



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 29764 of 2025

Crl.O.P.No. 29764 of 2025

03.11.2025