



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO. 30895 of 2025

Saravanan

Petitioner/A2

Vs

The State rep. by

The Inspector of Police

Ennore Police Station

Chennai District

Crime No. 454 of 2025.

Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event
of his arrest pending investigation in Cr.No. 454 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Bennington

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar

Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Sections 334(2), 305(a) of BNS,



2023 in Crime No. 454 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner joining hands with other accused more particularly, drivers who are used to transport various consumer products, clandestinely stolen shoes and dresses from the container sent by the de-facto complainant for the purpose of loading it in ship. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that the co-accused were arrested and released on bail. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to furnish sufficient solvent sureties for his release. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and submits that all the arrested persons were subsequently, released on bail and this petitioner is the main accused in this case, and the petitioner along with other accused committed theft of consumer goods. He further submits that the stolen property already recovered and the petitioner also having previous cases. He opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, taking note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (criminal side), also considering the fact that the stolen properties were already recovered and previous case registered against the petitioner is relating to



accident case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvottiur**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only)** with two **sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of



Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.



24.11.2025

WEB COPY

MSM

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvottiyur.

2. The The Inspector of Police
Ennore Police Station
Chennai District

Crime No. 454 of 2025.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MSM

Crl.O.P.No.30895 of 2025



WEB COPY



24.11.2025