



WEB COPY

Crl.OP No.30883 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-11-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 30883 of 2025

1. G. Janakiraman
S/o.Govindaraj,
No.172, Subramaniam Koil Street,
Abdullapuram,
Thiruvannamalai – 631 702

2. G.Sofia Jothi Bai
W/o.Dinkaran,
No 55, Kathan Street, Natham,
Chengalpattu- 603 002

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu, Rep. by
Inspector of Police,
Chittlapakkam Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
(Crime No.78/2025).

Respondent(s)

PRAYER : To enlarge the Petitioners/Accused on bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.78 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the Respondent police and thus render justice.



WEB COPY



For Petitioners : Mr.Saravanan. P
For Respondent : Mr.S.Udayakumar
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 465, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC in Crime No.78 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The earlier anticipatory bail application of the petitioners were dismissed by this Court, vide order dated 07.10.2025 in Crl.O.P.No.21846 of 2025. This Court after considering the merits of the claim of the petitioners anticipatory bail dismissed on the following reasons:-

“6. I have considered the submissions made on both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, grave nature of offence and taking note of the fact that the investigation of this case is pending, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners”

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition stands closed.”



3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner relying on the TSLR patta stating that even prior to 2018, the name of the Chakkubai was found in the TSLR Register. Based on the same, he has received only Patta and he has not committed any alteration or issued direction to change the existing TSLR Register. Hence, he prays anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. However, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and reported that the old TSLR Register which reveals that while the 1st petitioner was working as Tahsildar, and 2nd petitioner his associate after deleting the name of the original owner J.Vijayalakshmi and replace with the name of one Chakkubai and he has also forged the signature in the TSLR Register.

5. I have also gone through the available documents though it is stated that the petitioner was issued the Patta based on the existing TSLR Register. However, in the TSLR Register produced by the learned Government Advocate revealed that the name of J.Vijayalakshmi was deleted and the name of Chakkubai was inserted without any reason assigned. Further, before this Court also the petitioner claims that he has not issued the Patta and he is only followed the existing TSLR Register and the same is not having any merits. Hence, this



petition is liable to be dismissed.

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

24.11.2025

Index: Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-Speaking order

MSM

To

1. The Inspector of Police, Chittlapakkam Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.OP No.30883 of 20



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MSM

CRL OP No. 30883 of 2025

24.11.2025