



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 31-10-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 29178 of 2025

1. KALIL

S/o.Rahman, No. 37/8, Elephant Tank
2nd Street, Royapettah, Chennai. and
another

2. Mansoor Basha

S/o.Gulab Basha, No. 37/8, Elephant
Tank 2nd Street, Royapettah, Chennai.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep. by

The inspector of Police, Prohibition
Enforcement Wing Police Station,
Arakkonam, Ranipet District Crime No.
70/2025.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To enlarge the petitioners/accused herein on bail in Crime No. 70/2025 on the file of the respondent/complainant i.e., on the file of The Inspector of Police, Prohibition Enforcement Wing Police Station, Arakkonam, Ranipet District.

For Petitioner(s): S.Kartik



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 29178 of 2025



For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 13.09.2025, for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 123 of BNS Act 2023 and Section 77 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act 2015 in Crime No.70 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The allegation against these petitioners is that they were found in possession of 1,400 tablets, which are scheduled drugs under the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, and were used for administering the same for the purpose of intoxication to various persons. After the arrest of these petitioners, further investigation revealed that they were receiving the same from A3. After arresting Ferozullah/A3, it further revealed that he used to purchase from A4 to A6 from Mumbai, who were involved in dealing with medicines. Further, 15,000 numbers of tablets were recovered from another accused, and all were arrested and are in custody.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the allegation against the petitioners is that they were in possession of 1,400 tablets, and the investigation revealed that they had purchased the same from A3. However, the petitioners were not aware of the other accused and the linking of other accused with the seized tablets is not proper. He further submitted that petitioners herein are in custody from 13.09.2025 and prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reported that although the investigation started with the seizure of 1,400 tablets, it revealed a magnitude of offence and a network of supplying the tablets from Mumbai to Tamil Nadu. During investigation, so far seized 16,400 numbers of tablets, and it is not yet concluded. Hence, he opposed to granting bail to the petitioner.

5. Though the seized contraband is not falls within the schedule of the NDPS Act, it is alleged that the contraband seized has been allegedly misused for intoxication and supplied to students and various persons. The seized



contraband is also of a huge in numbers and the investigation is also pending. If the petitioners are granted bail, it will hamper the investigation and they will indulge in similar activities. Hence, I am not inclined to consider the bail petition. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

31-10-2025

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

mpa

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate-I, Arakkonam, Ranipet District.

2.The State Rep. by

The inspector of Police, Prohibition

Enforcement Wing Police Station,

Arakkonam, Ranipet District Crime No.

70/2025.

3.The Public Prosecutor,

High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 29178 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
mpa

**CRL OP No. 29178 of
2025**

31-10-2025