



W.P.No. 44327 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.11.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH

W.P.No.44327 of 2025

K.Jayaprakash

.... Petitioner

Vs

1.The District Collector
Chennai District Collector Office
Chennai.

2.The Tahsildar
Velacherry Taluk
Having office at Taramani
Chennai – 600 013.

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to consider the representation dated 20.09.2025 and to issue patta to the property of the petitioner comprised in Survey No.99/10A measuring an extent of 510 sq.ft., situated at Door No.18, Block No.1, Nethaji Nagar South Street, Thiruvanmiyur, Chennai – 600 041 forthwith without insisting “No Objection Certificate” from the Tamil Nadu Housing Board Department on the light of Gazette Notification No.275 dated 08.08.1981 and pass orders.



WEB COPY



W.P.No. 44327 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Elumalai

For Respondents : Mr.S.Rajesh
Government Advocate for R1 & R2

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the second respondent to issue patta to the petitioner with respect to the subject property.

2. Heard Mr.M.Elumalai, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.S.Rajesh, learned Government Advocate appearing for respondents.

3. The request made by the petitioner seeking for patta has been rejected by the second respondent mainly on the ground that the patta can be issued only after getting 'No Objection Certificate' from the Tamil Nadu Housing Board.

4. The issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by earlier order of this Court dated 19.02.2025 in W.P.No.5387 of 2025 and



relevant portions are extracted hereunder:

'3. A similar batch of writ petitions came to be filed before this Court and this Court passed an order dated 04.09.2017 made in WP.No.17484 to 17492 of 2017. For better understanding, the order is extracted hereunder:

“The petitioners have filed the above writ petitions to issue a writ of mandamus, directing the first respondent to process the petitioners' application dated 15.05.2017, 06.01.2016, 11.01.2016 and grant patta in respect of S.Nos.375, 373/2A respectively in Nerkundram Village, Maduravoyal Taluk, Tiruvallur District in favour of the petitioners without insisting No Objection Certificate from the second respondent within a time frame.

2. It is the case of the petitioners that originally Section 4(1) Notification was issued for acquisition of the lands on 11.06.1975 and 09.06.1978 in G.O.Rt.No.124 Housing, dated 08.05.1975 and G.O.Ms.Nos.996, 993, 994 Housing, dated 07.06.1978. Challenging the Notification issued under Section 4(1) and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, the land owner preferred writ petitions in W.P.Nos.8370 and 8371 of 1986 and the Division Bench of this Court, by order dated 21.01.1988 allowed the writ petitions and quashed the declaration under Section 6 of the Act and directed the authorities to conduct enquiry under Section 5A of the Act afresh.

3. Thereafter, the petitioner in W.P.No.8371 of 1986 preferred a writ petition in W.P.No.18379 of 1991 challenging Section 4(1) Notification dated 11.06.1995. This Court, by order dated 01.07.1999 quashed the Section 4(1) Notification dated 11.06.1975 and allowed the writ petition. In para 3 of the order passed in the said writ petition, this Court also recorded that the possession of the land was handed over to the Tamil Nadu Housing Board on 25.07.1986.

4. The petitioners, after their purchase from the original land owner, had applied for issuance of patta before the first respondent. The first respondent directed the petitioners to get No Objection Certificate from the Tamil Nadu Housing Board for the reason that the land was acquired by the Tamil Nadu Housing Board.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that once Section 4(1) Notification has been quashed by this Court, the Housing Board has no right over the acquired lands.



Admittedly, neither the Housing Board nor the State Government have challenged the orders passed in the earlier writ petitions. Therefore, according to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the order passed in W.P.Nos.8370 and 8371 of 1986, dated 21.01.1988 and in W.P.No.18379 of 1991, dated 01.07.199 have become final.

6. Mr.Vivekavanan, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the Tamil Nadu Housing Board, submitted that the Housing Board had taken possession of the land, as early as on 25.07.1986 and also deposited the Award amount before the Civil Court. The learned Standing Counsel further submitted that Award was passed on 30.05.1986 in Award No.1 of 1986. In these circumstances, the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the Housing Board submitted that the possession is still with the Housing Board and the petitioners have no right to get patta from the first respondent.

7. Mr.R.Rajeswaran, learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the first respondent fairly submitted that after quashing of the Section 4(1) Notification, neither the State nor the Housing Board have any right over the acquired lands.

8. When Section 4(1) Notification itself has been quashed by this Court on 01.07.1999 after taking into consideration that the possession of the land was handed over to the Housing Board on 25.07.1986, the Housing Board cannot claim any right over the acquired lands. Admittedly, the respondents have not issued any fresh 4(1) Notification for acquisition after the order passed on 01.07.1999. The Tamil Nadu Housing Board, having lost their right on 01.07.1999 cannot now take a stand that they are still in possession of the land pursuant to Section 4(1) Notification dated 11.06.1975.

9. The learned counsel for the petitioners also brought to the notice of this Court that in the lands sought to be acquired, layouts were formed and 250 occupants have constructed house and are residing.

10. When the Housing Board has no right over the lands sought to be acquired under Section 4(1) Notification dated 11.06.1975, the first respondent viz., the Tahsildar, should not have insisted the petitioners to get No Objection Certificate from the Housing Board. The first respondent should have considered the orders passed by this Court in the earlier writ petitions. Without even considering those orders, the Tahsildar had called upon the petitioners to get No Objection Certificate



from the Housing Board, which is unwarranted.

11. In these circumstances, I direct the first respondent to consider the petitioners' application for issuance of patta without insisting them to produce No Objection Certificate from the Tamil Nadu Housing Board and pass orders in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order."

4. Even thereafter, another set of petitioners approached this Court and this Court passed an order dated 16.09.2019. For better appreciation, the order is extracted hereunder:

"2. The petitioners are land owners in Survey No.373/2A, 373/2B, 374/2 and 375, Nerkundram Village, Maduravoyal Taluk, Tiruvallur District. The land was earlier sought to be acquired by Housing Board for developing housing tenements. The said acquisition proceedings was initiated under the Land Acquisition Act, 1984 vide G.O.Ms.No.124 dated 08.05.1975 was later subjected to challenge in W.P.No.18379 of 1991. This Court quashed Section 4(1) notification published on 11.06.1975 vide order dated 01.07.1999. Thus, the acquisition proceedings initiated by the Housing Board was quashed. Subsequently, the petitioners herein have purchased flats promoted by the land owners under the name and style of Sri Lakshmi Nagar layout. Now when the petitioner sought for issuance of patta, the first respondent has directed them to get No Objection Certificate from the Housing Board. Though the lands are not subject matter of any acquisition proceedings, in a similar circumstances the other flat owners when approached this Court in W.P.No.17484 to 17493 of 2017 dated 04.09.2017, directed the first respondent to consider the application of land owners for issuance of patta without insisting them to produce NOC from Tamil Nadu Housing Board. Seeking parity with them, the present writ petitions have been filed.

3. Counter has been filed by the first respondent. It is admitted that the acquisition proceedings initiated by them was quashed by this Court. Initially Section C declaration of the acquisition proceedings was quashed in W.P.No.8371 of 1986 on 21.01.1988 and thereafter 4(1) notification itself got quashed in W.P.No.18379 of 1991 vide order dated 01.07.1999. In the counter it is also admitted that in a batch of writ petitions, this Court has directed the Government to issue patta without insisting the petitioners to get NOC from the Housing Board.



However, pointing out that the Housing Board has preferred Writ Appeal against the order passed by this Court quashing 4(1) notification, the first respondent insisted upon NOC from Housing Board.

4. The Standing Counsel for Housing Board submitted that the Writ Appeal Sr.No.84733 to 84740 of 2017 and 84742 to 84743 of 2017 filed against the order passed by the Court in W.P.No.18379 of etc is pending in the Registry and yet to be numbered. Therefore the Housing Board is not in a position to issue NOC.

5. After hearing the counsel it is obviously clear that the lands acquired by the petitioners are no more under the acquisition proceedings. The Housing Board has not taken steps to pursue their writ appeal filed in the Registry as early as 2017, but yet to be numbered. Citing unnumbered appeal papers, the right of the petitioners cannot be deprived being third party who have purchased after the acquisition proceedings were quashed. Therefore, the Housing Board is bound to give NOC and the first respondent is bound to consider the application for transfer of patta based on the representation given by the petitioners without insisting on NOC issued by the second respondent.

6. Hence, it is directed the first respondent shall without insisting NOC from the Housing Board issue patta within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order if the petitioners produce all the other necessary documents for change of patta.”

5. Heard Mr.A.Ilaya Perumal, learned counsel for petitioners and Mr.A.Selvendran, learned Special Government Pleader appearing for respondents.

6. Following the aforesaid orders, this Court, passed an order in W.P.No.8633 of 2020 etc. batch, dated 31.07.2020, directing the Tahsildar to process the applications submitted by the petitioners seeking for patta without insisting for 'No Objection Certificate' from the Tamil Nadu Housing Board and to pass necessary orders strictly in accordance with law.

7. There is no dispute with regard to the fact that the property for which the petitioners are seeking for patta also falls within the ambit of the same 4(1) notification.



WEB COPY

8. *It is true that initially acquisition proceedings were initiated and 4(1) notification was issued in the year 1975. The subject property forms part of 4(1) notification. This Court by an order dated 01.07.1999 made in W.P.No.18379 of 1991, quashed the 4(1) notification published on 11.06.1975 and even gave liberty to the Government to proceed afresh if the acquisition is necessary for public interest. However, no fresh acquisition proceedings were initiated. However, the Tahsildar was insisting for NOC from Housing Board in order to process patta applications from the concerned owners.*

9. *The previous order passed by this Court, which has been extracted supra, clearly establishes the fact that this Court on more than one occasion had held that the Housing Board has no right over the lands and the Tahsildar should not insist for getting 'No Objection Certificate' from the Housing Board. This Court also proceeded to issue directions to the Tahsildar to grant patta without insisting for No Objection Certificate from the Housing Board. The above order squarely covers the facts of the present case also.*

10. *In view of the above discussion, there shall be a direction to the fifth respondent to process the application submitted by the petitioners seeking for patta without insisting for 'No Objection Certificate' from the Tamil Nadu Housing Board and necessary orders shall be passed strictly in accordance with law within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.'*

5. In the light of the above discussion, there shall be a direction to the second respondent to deal with the representation submitted by the petitioner seeking patta without insisting for 'No Objection Certificate' from the Tamil Nadu Housing Board and necessary orders shall be passed strictly in accordance with law within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.



W.P.No. 44327 of 2025

6. This writ petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs.

WEB COPY

19.11.2025

Index : Yes / No

Speaking Order/Non-Speaking Order

Neutral citation : Yes/No

ds

To:

1.The District Collector

Chennai District Collector Office, Chennai.

2.The Tahsildar

Velacherry Taluk

Having office at Taramani, Chennai – 600 013.



WEB COPY



W.P.No. 44327 of 2025

N. ANAND VENKATESH., J

ds

W.P.No.44327 of 2025

19.11.2025