



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.29168 of 2025

P.Lavanya

... Petitioner

Vs.

State by: Inspector of Police,
M-6, Manali Police Station,
Puzhal, Chennai.
Crime No.459 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.459 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Prema Sudha Rani

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udhayakumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner herein apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 118(1), 333, 351(3) of BNS r/w 49 and 4 of TNPWH Act, in Crime No.459 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel, petitioner along with other accused assaulted the defacto complainant and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person, and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; and that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary in this case and hence, prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, would submit that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsels on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsels on either side, also considering the fact that the injured discharged from the hospital, the petitioner is being a lady and that the petitioner is having no previous case, hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvottiur**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

27.10.2025

kmm

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvottiyur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
M-6, Manali Police Station,
Puzhal, Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.29168 of 2025