



W.P.No.44143 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.11.2025

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R. SURESH KUMAR
AND
THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI

W.P.No.44143 of 2025

Janap Syed Meer	..	Petitioner
Vs.		
1. The Commissioner Land Administration and Reforms Ezhilagam, Chepauk, Chennai.		
2. The District Collector Arcot, Ranipet District.		
3. The Commissioner Arcot Municipality, Arcot Town and Taluk Panchayat, Ranipet District.		
4. The Executive Officer Arcot Town and Taluk, Panchayat Ranipet District.	..	Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari, calling for the records of the eviction notice issued by third respondent under Section 128(1)(b) of the Tamil Nadu Urban Local Bodies Act, 1998, (amended 2022) in Na.Ka.No.2716/2023/F1 dated 25.09.2025.

For the Petitioner : Mr.V.Gopinath



W.P.No.44143 of 2025

For the Respondents : Ms.M.Jayanthy
Additional Government Pleader
for R1 and R2

Mr.P.Anand
Standing Counsel
for R3

Mr.R.Neethiperumal
Government Advocate
for R4

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by R.SURESH KUMAR, J.)

This writ petition in fact has been filed challenging the show-cause notice issued under Section 128 (1)(b) of the Tamil Nadu Urban Local Bodies Act, 1998 dated 25.09.2025.

2. Heard *Mr.V.Gopinath*, learned counsel for the petitioner, *Ms.M.Jayanthy*, learned Additional Government Pleader for respondents 1 and 2, *Mr.P.Anand*, learned Standing Counsel for respondent 3 and *Mr.R.Neethiperumal*, learned Government Advocate for respondent 4.

3. The learned Standing Counsel for respondent 3, on instructions, would submit that, pursuant to the order impugned dated 25.09.2025, a reply has been given by the petitioner on 06.10.2025, which is under the consideration of the third



W.P.No.44143 of 2025

respondent Municipality and having considering the same, final orders would be passed under the provisions of the said Act. Therefore, before such order is passed, since the writ petition has been filed challenging the show-cause notice, it is a pre-mature one, he submitted.

4. In this context, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that, since the petitioner apprehends that adverse order would be passed against him, therefore, as a precaution, he has come before this Court challenging the said show-cause notice.

5. We are not impressed with the same for the simple reason that, once he has chosen to give a reply to the show-cause notice, which is impugned herein, on 06.10.2025 and it is under the active consideration of the third respondent Municipality, before even passing any final orders, coming to the conclusive decision of challenging the show-cause notice is impermissible. Therefore, with liberty to challenge the final order to be passed by the third respondent Municipality, if at all adversely passed against the petitioner, this writ petition could be disposed of.



W.P.No.44143 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. In that view of the matter, this writ petition is dismissed with liberty to challenge the final order to be passed pursuant to the impugned show-cause notice issued by the third respondent Municipality. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, W.M.P.No.49260 of 2025 is closed.

(R.S.K., J.) (K.G.T., J.)
28.11.2025

Speaking Order/Non-Speaking Order
Neutral Citation:Yes/No
Internet:Yes/No
Index:Yes/No

(drm)



W.P.No.44143 of 2025

To:

WEB COPY

1. The Commissioner
Land Administration and Reforms
Ezhilagam, Chepauk, Chennai.
2. The District Collector
Arcot, Ranipet District.
3. The Commissioner
Arcot Municipality, Arcot Town and Taluk
Panchayat, Ranipet District.
4. The Executive Officer
Arcot Town and Taluk, Panchayat
Ranipet District.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.44143 of 2025

R. SURESH KUMAR, J.
AND
K. GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI, J.
(drm)

W.P.No.44143 of 2025

28.11.2025