



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.29034 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.29034 of 2025

1. Mohammed Reehan
2. Mubarak
3. Mohammed Zubair
4. Farooq Pasha
5. Syed Siddiqh

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State Rep by its
Inspector of Police,
Bargur Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Cr.No.482 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in
Cr.No.482 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Saravanan

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.10.2025 for the alleged offence under Sections 127(2), 62, 310(2) of BNS Act in Crime No.482 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that these petitioners planned to stole the shop, because of that , they have tried to open the shutter. But, the watchman saw them and shouted. Due to which, they have ran away from that place and based on the CC.TV footage, they have been identified. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are in custody from 15.10.2025 and prays to grant bail to the petitioners.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and reported that no property were stolen and there are no previous against them. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the period of incarceration and no bad antecedents, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing bonds for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate Court-I, Krishnagiri** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall



obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m.,for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[d] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

25.10.2025

Vv



Crl.OP.No.29034 of 2020

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The **Judicial Magistrate Court-I, Krishnagiri**
2. The Inspector of Police,
Bargur Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
3. The Central Prison,
Salem.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.29034 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.29034 of 2025

25.10.2025